Town of Thompson's Station Municipal Planning Commission Meeting Agenda April 26, 2016

Meeting Called To Order

Pledge Of Allegiance

Minutes-

Consideration Of The Minutes Of The March 29, 2016 Meeting.

Documents: 03292016 PC MIN.PDF

Public Comments-

Unfinished Business:

1. Land Development Ordinance Amendments (File Zone Amend 2016-004).

Documents: ITEM 1 - STAFF REPORT LDO AMENDMENTS.PDF

New Business:

2. Residential Business For An Event Venue Located At 1850 Lewisburg Pike (Look Away Farms) (RB 2016-001).

Documents: ITEM 2 - APPLICANT PACKET.PDF, ITEM 2 - STAFF REPORT FOR LOOK AWAY FARMS.PDF

3. Preliminary Plat For The Creation Of The Four Parcels Within The Roderick Place Development Located At 4624 Columbia Pike. (File: 2016-001).

Documents: ITEM 3 - STAFF REPORT RODERICK COMMERCIAL PLAT.PDF, ITEM 3 - RODERICK COMMERCIAL PRELIMINARY PLAT.PDF

4. Preliminary Plat For The Development Of The Whistle Stop Neighborhood Located At 1565 Thompson's Station Road West And 1715 School Street (File: PP 2016-002).

Documents: ITEM 4 - WHISTLE STOP PLANS.PDF, ITEM 4 - STAFF REPORT WHISTLE STOP PLAT.PDF, ITEM 4 - TRAFFIC STUDY WHISTLE STOP.PDF

5. Rezone For Pleasant Creek Located At Along The West Side Lewisburg Pike From D1 Low Intensity Residential To TC Transect Community (File: Zone Amend 2016-003).

Documents: ITEM 5 - STAFF REPORT PLEASANT CREEK REZONE.PDF, ITEM 5 - PLEASANT CREEK REZONE MAP.PDF, ITEM 5 - PLEASANT CREEK TRANSECT MAP.PDF

6. Request To Modify A Contingency For The Phase 7 Preliminary Plat Within Bridgemore Village (PP 2015-008).

Documents: ITEM 6 - MEMO FOR BV PHASE 7 CONTINGENCY.PDF, ITEM 6 - JAN 2016 STAFF REPORT BV PHASE 7.PDF, ITEM 6 - LETTER DATED MARCH 15.PDF

Adjourn

This meeting will be held at 7:00 p.m. at the Thompson's Station Community Center 1555 Thompson's Station Rd West

<u>Minutes of the Meeting</u> of the Municipal Planning Commission of the Town of Thompson 's Station, Tennessee March 29, 2016

Call to Order:

The meeting of the Municipal Planning Commission of the Town of Thompson's Station was called to order at 7:00 p.m. on the 29th day of March, 2016 at the Thompson's Station Community Center with the required quorum. Members and staff in attendance were: Chairman Jack Elder; Secretary Don Blair; Commissioner Ben Dilks; Commissioner Sarah Benson; Commissioner Debra Bender; Commissioner Darren Burress; Town Administrator Joe Cosentini; Town Planner Wendy Deats; Town Attorney Todd Moore and Town Clerk Jennifer Jones.

Pledge of Allegiance.

Minutes:

The minutes of the February 23, 2016 meeting were previously submitted with revisions.

Commissioner Benson moved for approval of the February 23rd, 2016 meeting minutes with revisions. The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

Public Comment:

Jason Bailey – 3108 Pleasantville Bridge Rd. Voiced opposition to elementary/middle school on Clayton Arnold Rd.

Michael Caterelli – 3001 Paper Mill Bridge Rd. - Voice communication concerns and new school concerns.

Lise Davis – 1500 Davis Hollow Rd. – Density and Environmental concerns over Two Farms.

Ann Goetze - 4680 Carters Creek Pike – Concerns over sustainability, TDOT growth plan, transportation, and environment regarding Two Farms.

Tom Mason – 1388 Hunter Rd. – Has Two Farms concerns with regard to traffic, inadequate roads, and being an unguided development.

Kayla Wright – 3275 Kinnard Springs Rd. – Has issues with property rights, the tax burden and traffic about Two Farms.

Joy Cornay – 4806 Carters Creek Pike – Has concerns over Two Farms with regards to history, environment, tax payer expenses, and transportation.

Karen Sumrall – 3565 Robbins Nest Rd. – Voiced concerns over new school regarding traffic, infrastructure, development and inadequate notification.

David Turk – 2801 Wilder Village Ct. – Has secondary access concerns over new school and connectivity to Bridgemore Village.

Michael Jaeger – 3604 Robbins Nest Rd. – Has aesthetic concerns about new school fitting in with surrounding developments.

Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting March 29, 2016 Page 2

Drew Hendry – 3809 Robbins Nest Rd. – Voiced traffic concerns over proximity of new school.

Rebecca Morgan – North Petway, Franklin – Opposed to Two Farms development. Concerned over preservation and growth.

Todd Kaestner – 3210 Del Rio Pk. – Williamson County Commissioner opposed to Two Farms development and concerned over city/county services, lack of citizen representation, and tax payer expense.

Bob Chambers – 3316 Bartrams Bridge Rd. – Has traffic concerns over the new school.

Tiffany Borgelts – 3332 Bartrams Bridge – Voiced concerns over new school with regard to communication, traffic, timeline and no representation.

Carole Schneider – Bridgemore Village – Voiced traffic and safety concerns over new school.

Mark Darcy – 3043 Carters Creek Pike – Voiced concerns over the zoning change of Two Farms.

John Peterson -3448 Colebrook Dr. - Is for growth and progress within Thompson's Station. Participated in the charrettes work session and is pleased with the density to greenspace ratio.

Chairman Elder closed public comment.

Town Planner Report:

None

Unfinished Business:

Unfinished business will be heard at the end of the meeting.

New Business:

2. Site plan for the addition of an 1,800 square foot building for expansion of the existing automotive facility located at 4713 Trader's Way (File: SP 2016-001; DR 2016-001)

Mrs. Deats reviewed her report and recommended approval based on the consistency with the Land Development Ordinance with the following contingencies:

1. Prior to issuance of grading or building permits, construction plans shall be submitted and approved. Any upgrades to the utility infrastructure necessary for the project shall be incorporated into the construction plans and shall be completed by the applicant.

2. Prior to the issuance of grading or building permits, the landscape plan shall be revised to incorporate a buffer along the east property line and incorporated additional shrubs consistent with the existing planting along the project frontage.

3. Prior to installation of the landscaping, the landscaping, the applicant shall meet with staff to confirm location of all landscaping.

4. Any change of use or expansion of the project site shall conform to the requirements set forth within the Land Development Ordinance and shall be approved prior to the implementation of any changes to the project.

Gerald Bucy, a consulting engineer representing the applicant, came forward to answer questions and concerns regarding the site. Commissioner Bender had concerns about parking adequacy. Commissioner Benson voiced concerns over lighting while Commissioner Roberts asked about long term vehicle storage. Commissioner Burress suggested adding a landscape buffer.

After discussion, Commissioner Bender made a motion to approve a recommendation for the site plan for the addition of an 1,800 square foot building for the expansion of an existing automotive facility located at 4713 Trader's Way with Staff's recommended contingencies.

The motion was seconded, and carried by a vote of 4 to 2 with Commissioners Burress and Roberts casting the opposing votes.

The Commission took a brief recess at 8:05 at the request of Town Planner Wendy Deats and resumed at 8:14 pm.

3. Site Plan for the construction of a 233,880 square foot elementary and middle school on a 46.87 acre site located at 2638 and 2640 Clayton Arnold Rd.

Mr. Cosentini reviewed the staff report and recommended approval based on compliance with the LDO with the following contingencies:

1. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, all traffic mitigation from the traffic access study shall be completed by Williamson County Schools.

2. Once the school zone boundaries have been established, a traffic study shall be prepared and necessary roadway improvements be completed by Williamson County Schools.

3. Prior to the issuance of grading permits, construction plans shall be submitted and approved. Any upgrades to the utility infrastructure necessary for the project shall be completed by the applicant.

4. The project shall be modified to include a five foot sidewalk along Clayton Arnold Road with five foot landscaped area between the road and sidewalk.

5. The project shall include an additional pedestrian access between the school, fields and neighboring residential uses.

6. Prior to installation of the landscaping, the applicant shall meet with staff for a pre-installation meeting.

7. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, all landscaping shall be installed and maintained in a healthy manner.

8. Any change of use or expansion of the project site shall conform to the requirements set forth within the Land Development Ordinance and shall be approved prior to the implementation of any changes to the project.

Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting March 29, 2016 Page 4

Derrick Howard, an architect with Goodwyn, Mills and Cawood came forth to present a slideshow and answer questions on behalf of the applicant regarding site lighting, fencing material and the secondary access.

Brett Smith with Ragan Smith came forward to discuss and answer questions regarding trees and landscaping.

Jason Golden, Deputy Superintendent and General Counsel for Williamson County Schools came forward to answer questions regarding the secondary/emergency access.

After discussion, Commissioner Burress made a motion to approve a recommendation to approve the site plan for the construction of a 233,880 square foot elementary and middle school on a 46.87 acre site located at 2338 and 2640 Clayton Arnold Rd with the following staff recommended contingencies and the addition of two other contingencies:

1. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, all traffic mitigation from the traffic access study shall be completed by Williamson County Schools

3. Prior to the issuance of grading permits, construction plans shall be submitted and approved. Any upgrades to the utility infrastructure necessary for the project shall be completed by the applicant.

6. Prior to installation of the landscaping, the applicant shall meet with staff for a preinstallation meeting.

7. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, all landscaping shall be installed and maintained in a healthy manner.

8. Any change of use or expansion of the project site shall conform to the requirements set forth within the Land Development Ordinance and shall be approved prior to the implementation of any changes to the project.

9. Fencing on the south side of the school to be consistent with fencing throughout the Bridgemore neighborhood.

10. The secondary/emergency entrance to be removed to allow for walkability.

The motion was seconded and carried by a vote of 5 to 1 with Commissioner Dilks casting the opposing vote, based on the school 's unwillingness to meet contingencies 2, 4 and 5.

4. Rezone for Phase 2 of Two Farms at Thompson's Station (Map 119 1.00; Map 131 11.00 and Map 131 11.03).

Mr. Cosentini reviewed the Staff report and recommended approval to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen based on the findings for General Plan consistency.

Mike Abott on behalf of Beacon Development came forward to answer questions regarding the rezone.

After discussion, Chairman Elder made a motion to recommend approval to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen the Rezone for Phase 2 of Two Farms at Thompson's Station (Map 119 1.00; Map 131 11.00 and Map 131 11.03).

Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting March 29, 2016 Page 5

The motion was seconded and carried by a vote of 5 to 1 with Commissioner Bender casting the opposing vote.

The commission took a brief recess at 9:58 and reconvened at 10:02.

5. Revised Concept Plan for Roderick Place to develop 87 residential lots, 56 rental units and 127,606 square feet of commercial uses located at 4626 Columbia Pike and 4624 Columbia Pike.

Mr. Cosentini reviewed the Staff report and recommended approval of the modified plan to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen.

Jeff Roziak with Kiser/Vogrin Design came forward to discuss changes and answer questions on behalf of the applicant.

After discussion, Commissioner Dilks moved to recommend approval to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen the Revised Concept Plan for Roderick Place to develop 87 residential lots, 56 rental units and 127,606 square feet of commercial uses located at 4626 Columbia Pike and 4624 Columbia Pike.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

Unfinished Business:

1. Land Development Ordinance Amendments (File Zone Amend 2016-004).

Commissioner Bender moved to defer item until Planning Commission Meeting on April 26, 2016.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

There being no further business, Chairman Elder made a motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded and the meeting was adjourned at 10:28 p.m.

Jack Elder, Chairman

Attest:

Don Blair, Secretary

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 1 (Zone Amend 2016-004) April 26, 2016 Land Development Ordinance Amendments

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

These are Staff and BOMA initiated amendments of the Land Development Ordinance.

PROPOSED REVISIONS

Table 2.3 Community Types, Areas and Civic Space (page 24). Subdivisions require 45% open space, however this limits the ability of property owners to create minor subdivisions in compliance with all development standards in into large lots based on acreage. A minor subdivision is the subdividing of a lot into no more than four lots. Staff recommends a note be incorporated with this table as follows:

(3) Minor subdivisions may be exempt from the requirement for designated open space.

Section 3.6.11 Debris and Waste (page 49). Dumpsters are required to manage trash and debris on construction sites however; the timing for the placement or location of the dumpster on site is not specified or regular care and maintenance addressed within the section. Therefore, Staff recommends the following revisions:

No cut trees, timber, construction debris, junk, rubbish, or other waste materials of any kind shall be buried in any land, left on any lot, or deposited in any natural drainage way (such as sinkholes, underground streams/ channels, or wet weather stream beds or floodways) or public way at the time of the issuance of the certificate of occupancy for the lot, and removal of such waste shall be required prior to issuance of any certificate of occupancy. Waste shall not be left or deposited in any area of the subdivision at any times. Debris dumpsters with lids shall be required for construction debris disposal. A dumpster shall be required for every two adjacent lots at the time any construction activity begins. Such The-dumpsters shall be of adequate size, maintained in a clean manner, the location shall be placed with clear site distance. The dumpsters and shall be removed in a timely manner upon the completion of construction activities. All natural, vegetated material shall be shredded, chipped, or other means to us on site. Burning of materials on site shall be prohibited unless otherwise approved by the Planning Commission.

Section 3.9.10 Use Zoning District Design Criteria (page 61). The hierarchy of streets is that the local roads handle less volume and take access from any street types. Collectors handle more volume than local roads however less volume than arterials and are designed to limit access in order to create local roads within development. Collectors have a volume of 300 - 6,000 and arterials have a volume greater than 3,000. This overlap in volume does not provide clarity for roadway classifications. Given that the arterial is intended to have a higher volume of traffic than a collector road, therefore, Staff recommends the following revisions:

iii. Arterial – Design capacity and service restriction – Arterials are intended to serve anticipated traffic volumes greater than three thousand (3,000) six thousand (6,000).

Table 4.3. T2 Lot Standards (page 78). Revise the table pertaining to access width to setback requirement of 12 feet. The T2 zone is a Rural zone which promotes farm and agricultural uses. Vehicles and equipment found within this zone cannot make the turning radius for a 12 foot wide access drive. Therefore, Staff is recommending either the removal of the access width requirement or an increase of the requirement to a width that is adequate.

Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 73). Remove group homes from the permitted use table as an allowable use in the T2 district.

Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 73). Options include:

- 1. Removal of apartments from the T4 transect district only.
- 2. Removal of apartments from the T5 transect district only.
- 3. Maintain the code as it was intended and adopted and recommend rezones to transect community selectively where adjacent land uses and infrastructure support this type of development.

Removal of apartments reduces the effectiveness of the transect zones in providing multiple housing options consisting of a mixture of ownership and rental properties. The transect zoning was intended to create walkable communities with the density to support non-residential uses. Rezones are not permitted by right and therefore, can be denied by the Planning Commission if the Commission determines the transect community zoning is not compatible with the surrounding land uses and would have a negative impact. Therefore, Staff recommends that option 3 be considered as the appropriate means to regulate the intensity and type of development within the Town. Furthermore, it should be noted that if options 1 or 2 are preferred, a more comprehensive review of the LDO will be necessary to ensure that all potential conflicts are addressed related to the elimination of apartments within the transect zones.

Table 4.9 D3 Lot Standards (page 84). Lot width is 50 feet for single family residential; however, townhome lots have a reduced width that is not identified within the table. Therefore, Staff is recommending that a lot width of 20 feet be identified for townhome development to conform to the other districts where townhomes are permitted.

Section 4.11.1 Non-Residential Use Property Development Standards (page 96). Recommendation is to strike the requirement for a masonry wall because it reduces walkability between land uses.

F. Masonry walls shall be required for noise attenuation between non-residential and residential land uses. Masonry walls shall be designed to match the architecture.

Section 4.11.1 Non-Residential Use Property Development Standards (page 96). This section regulates development of properties for commercial purposes. This standard references residential buildings, therefore, Staff recommends the following modification to the text:

G. Each development shall include trash areas that will be designed to accommodate two trash bins, one which will be designed for recycling. The trash enclosure shall be enclosed by a masonry wall that matches the architecture of the residential buildings on site.

Section 4.17.3 Prohibited Signs (page 116). Electronic signs are prohibited; however, fuel pricing signs use digital signs to effectively display gas prices. Staff recommends that digital copy be permitted for fuel pricing signs.

Table 4.22 General Sign Restrictions (page 117). Wall signage is permitted for commercial buildings with a maximum height of 18 inches for the text of the sign. However, wall signs are often two or three lines of text including the company logo. The code allows for multiple lines of text in

the commercial district, however, does not identify a provision for multiple lines of text within the transect zones. Therefore, Staff recommends the addition of the "36 inches for more than one line of copy.

Section 5.1.1 Penalties (page 125). Modify the text to read as follows:

It shall be unlawful to erect, construct, reconstruct, alter, maintain or use any building or structure, or to use any land in violation of any regulation in this ordinance. Any person violating any of the provisions of this zoning regulations article shall be guilty of a Class C misdemeanor, and conviction shall result in a monetary penalty not to exceed fifty dollars (\$50.00) and the repayment of administrative costs incident to the correction of the municipal violation in the amount of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) for each separate offense. Each day any violation of this ordinance shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 5.1.2 Remedies (page 125). Modify the text to read as follows:

In addition to the penalties reference above and other remedies, upon the recommendation of the Town Planner or Building Official, or upon the request of a property owner who would be specifically damaged by a violation of this ordinance, the Town Administrator may direct the Town Attorney to institute an injunction, mandamus, or other appropriate action or proceeding to prevent such unlawful erection, construction, reconstruction, alteration, repair, conversion, maintenance, or use; or to correct or abate such violation; or to prevent occupancy of such building, structure, or land. Where construction, excavation, demolition, grading or any other activity has begun on any building, dwelling, structure, sign or use in violation of this ordinance or any other Town ordinance, the Town Administrator may, in addition to taking other authorized enforcement action, issue a stop work order pending the responsible party or parties bringing such construction, use or other activity into compliance with the ordinances of the Town. The party or parties may appeal the issuance of a stop work order to the Board of Zoning Appeals and the BZA shall hold a hearing on the order in accordance with § 5.5.4 of this ordinance. The BZA hearing on an appeal of a stop work order shall be heard as soon as possible after publishing the required notice, but not soon than fifteen (15) days after the filing of such appeal with the Town Planner, and not greater than thirty (30) days from the filing of such appeal.

Section 5.2.5 Site Plans (page 127). The administrative section of the code requires site plans be provided for resource conservation developments, planned resource conservation developments and non-residential developments, however, the code does not have a provision for resource conservation developments therefore, the language should be modified as follows:

Site plan review and approval shall be required for resource conservation developments, planned resource conservation developments and all multi-family and nonresidential developments.

Section 5.2.8 Development Agreement Required Prior to Construction (page 131). Modify the section as follows:

No construction or installation of infrastructure, including but not limited to roads, drainage or wastewater infrastructure, may be installed prior to the approval of a development agreement. Applicant may begin preliminary site development and grading work only after:

- a. Preliminary plat approval;
- b. Construction plan approval by the Town Engineer(s) and Town Planner; and
- c. The issuance of a grading permit by the Town Planner.

Following the preliminary plat and construction plan approval, a completed "Development-Agreement" shall be prepared and executed prior to the construction of any infrastructure within anydevelopment to which these regulations are applicable. - A draft development agreement shall be prepared by the Town Planner. The draft development agreement shall substantially conforming to the Development Agreement contained in Appendix "A" and shall be prepared by the Town Planner. The draft agreement shall reference the design incorporated by reference both within the approved plat, including any conditions on said approval, and the approved construction plans. The draft development agreement and shall require be sufficient in form to assure that proposed construction methods and materials meet or exceed minimum standards established by the Town.

The Town Planner shall send tThe draft development agreement shall be sent to the applicant for approval. Upon acceptance and signature of the agreement by the applicant, the proposed development agreement shall be forwarded to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen for consideration approval at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Section 5.2.9 Bond Surety Required (page 131).

Prior to recording the final subdivision plat, the application applicant shall provide a bond surety conforming to Section 5.2.10 Bond Standards and Requirements guaranteeing construction or the remaining required improvements. The amount and form of such bond surety shall be sufficient to guarantee to the Town, satisfactory construction, installation, and dedication, free and clear of any encumbrances, of the incomplete portion of the required improvements. If a development agreement has not already been provided approved as specified in Section 5.2.8 Development Agreement-Required Prior to Construction, such an agreement shall be provided at this time. The approval of the development agreement shall follow the same procedure as set forth in Section 5.2.8. Such surety instruments shall comply with all statutory requirements and shall be satisfactory to the Town Attorney as to form, sufficiency, and manner of execution, as set forth in these regulations.

Section 5.2.10 Bond Surety Standards and Requirements (page 131).

a. General

All improvements proposed in conjunction with any subdivision must be covered by an adequate bond surety. If such improvements are unless such work is completed prior to filing of any final plat for any portion of the development site, the Town may elect to accept such improvements and require surety for the maintenance as set forth in this ordinance.

b. Amount of bond surety

The developer shall post a good and sufficient bond surety with the Town in the amount of one hundred ten (110%) of the Town Engineers' estimate of cost to assure completion of the work. Good and sufficient surety shall include the types of bond surety specified in Section 5.2.10c. Types of bond. Each bond surety shall reference and secure compliance with the development agreement be accompanied by a "Development Agreement" as per required by Section 5.2.8 Development Agreement Required Prior to Construction, and Appendix "A" where the developer agrees to make and install the improvements in accordance with the approved plans and specifications.

c. Types of bond-surety

Subject to the standards and requirement of this Article and acceptance by the Planning Commission and approval by the Town Attorney, the following types of bond surety may be accepted for purposes of guaranteeing completion of improvements required by these regulations: Each bond must remain in effect for at least one (1) year.

1. Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit; or

2. Cash Escrow or bank assignment of certificates of deposit with a federally insured bank having assets of at least \$50 million.

3. Cash Builders Bond

Notwithstanding the foregoing, any other surety accepted by the Town under prior regulations may remain in effect and may be extended; however any developments approved after the effective date of this ordinance must be secured by the surety types herein.

Irrevocable standby letters of credit

An irrevocable standby letter of credit may be utilized as the means of providing bond surety for improvements required under the various provisions of these regulations provided it meets the following requirements:

- a. Any letter of credit shall be drafted so as to represent an obligation of the financial institution to the town and not an obligation to the permittee;
- b. All letters of credit, shall be governed and construed in accordance with the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credit (1983- Revision), International Chamber of Commerce, Publication 400 and Tenn Code Ann Section 47-5-101 through 47-5-118. Such letter shall be valid for one (1) year and shall be automatically renewed for successive one (1) year periods until released by the Town;
- c. Said letters may be revoked only after giving the Town 90 days prior written notice with the opportunity to cash the letter and Ssuch notice shall be by certified mail, return receipt requested;
- d. All letters of credit shall be cashable in Williamson County, or in a County which adjoins Williamson County (within 60 mile radius) and shall be substantially in the form as show in Appendix B;
- e. The financial institution issuing the letter of credit or bond must demonstrate its good standing with the State of Tennessee and shall not issue in excess of 10% of its total capital to an applicant; and
- f. This The branch of the issuing financial institution shall be located within a 60 mile radius of Thompson's Station, TN. This branch must also be available for contact and for making draws on the letter of credit or bond surety.

The Town Finance Director shall be the accepting authority for all letters of credit and bonds surety and will make a determination on the above referenced items and shall also consider the Thomson Bank Watch or Schushenoff rating of A. If an outside rating system is utilized, a minimum of 2 major rating agencies shall be required of no less than BBB. In addition, the bank must have a passing grade by the FDIC with no deficiencies. All letters of credit, shall be governed and construed in accordance with the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credit (1983 Revision), International Chamber of Commerce, Publication 400 and Tennessee Code Annotated Section 47-5-101 through 47-5-118.

Upon acceptance and qualification of the letters of credit, the Town Finance Director shall forward said letters to the Town Attorney for final review.

Escrow deposits for improvements

- a. No changes
- b. Procedures on Escrow Fund

All escrows shall be held by the town, kept in its bank accounts, and be totally under the control of the town. A detailed "escrow agreement" shall be prepared and approved by the Town Attorney and shall be appropriately endorsed by all parties to such agreement at the time of creation of any escrow account. The Town Administrator may execute such escrow agreement on behalf of the Town and designate the Finance Director to administer said account. The developer's tax identification number shall be used for the escrow and the developer shall be responsible for paying tax on any interest credited to the escrow account.

- c. Delete performance bond section.
- d. Time to post bond surety.

Surety Bond must be posted within 60 days of the Planning Commission action establishing the bond surety amount. Failure to post the bond surety within the allotted time period will require re-approval of the final plat. All review fees will apply.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff is requesting the Planning Commission recommend to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen these Staff initiated amendments to the Land Development Ordinance.



To Town of Thompson Station

Proposed business use at 1850 Lewisburg Pike , Franklin

A Venue space for events like Wedding, Corporate functions, meeting, Banquets, church parties, and all

Like events . All food and drinks by outside Lic. Contractors

Parking for 150 + cars

Hours of operation 11:00 am to 11:30 pm

Est number of events per year four to eight

RECEIVED APR 0.4 2016

1850 Lewisburg Pike • Franklin, TN 37064 • 615/591-5339





л. л



Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 2 (File: RB 2016-001) April 26, 2016

Residential Business to permit events at Look Away Farms.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant, Darrel Reifschneider, owner of Look Away Farms has submitted a request to permit events at his farm and residence located at 1850 Lewisburg Pike.



BACKGROUND

The project site is 51.9 acres and is located along the west side of Lewisburg Pike, south of Critz Lane, north of Harpeth Peytonsville Road. The site is bounded by Interstate 65 to the north and west, residential (across Lewisburg Pike) to the east and vacant County land to the south. The site is developed with a single-family residence and several outbuildings, including an approximately 5,000 square foot barn where events are proposed to take place. The site is zoned D1 Low Intensity Residential which permits residential business upon approval.

ANALYSIS

Residential Business

Residential Businesses are permitted for "larger residential properties which are conducive to both residential and business land uses" (LDO Section 4.11.3). The standards regulating residential businesses are in place to promote and encourage economic activity that will not be a nuisance and can be maintained in consistency with the surrounding community and land uses.

The *italicized* text is the Land Development Ordinance standard with Staff response below.

1. A residential business may not be permitted on lots less than one (1) acre in size.



The property is 51.9 acres.

2. The residents of the property must be engaged in the business. Additional non-residents may be employed in the residential business providing all parking can be provided on-site and the use does not become a nuisance to the community. Adequate parking for all employees shall be indicated on the site plan.

The property owner resides on site and will manage the scheduling and operation of all events that occur on site. All parking for any staff that may be employed for an event will be provided on site adjacent to the barn.

3. With the exception of land uses that require cultivation of the land, all residential business uses shall be maintained within an enclosed building, not to exceed 5000 square feet.

No new construction is required for the proposed residential business. The site has multiple buildings on site including an enclosed barn where the events are proposed to occur.



4. All storage of materials used for the residential business shall be kept within an enclosed structure or shall be completely screened from the roadways and adjacent properties.

Any materials that are available on site will be stored in within the barn or other enclosed structure on site. However, it is more likely that any materials necessary for each individual event will be brought on site for the event on a case by case basis.

5. All buildings utilized for the business shall maintain a minimum setback of 50 feet from any property line.

No new construction is required for the residential business. The site has multiple buildings that are all located within the required setbacks and the applicant intends to use the existing barn.

6. Any land alterations necessary for the installation of any accessory structure shall be subject to review and approval of a grading plan.

No alterations to the land is necessary as part of the residential business.

7. All businesses shall comply with the code requirements for buffer yard performance standards.

The project site is over 50 acres with rolling hillsides and natural vegetation to offer adequate buffering during the time of events. In addition, Interstate 65 bound the property to the north and west and larger residential parcels along with Lewisburg Pike are situated to the east and south. These other properties also have varying topography with vegetation typical for farms and large lot developments.

8. Any business that exceeds the thresholds within the Noise Ordinance shall be required to soundproof the building.

The Town does not have a noise ordinance at this time, noise impacts to the surrounding land uses are not anticipated given the site's size, location of the barn, proximity to Interstate 65 and the distance to neighboring housing.

9. No activities, materials or equipment related to the residential business may negatively impact visibility from the public right-of-way or neighboring residences.

The proposed events will be conducted within the barn which is located toward the rear of the site. All activities are proposed within the barn, no materials or equipment associated with the event business will be visible from the neighboring residences or the public right-of-way.

10. Any proposed signage shall be required to obtain a sign permit prior to the installation of any signs.

The site has a sign identifying the farm as "Look Away Farms." No other signs are proposed with this request and any sign requested will be required to conform to the requirements set forth within the Land Development Ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the project's consistency with the Land Development Ordinance, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the request for events to be held within the barn at Look Away Farms.

ATTACHMENTS

Application packet

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 3 (File: PP 2015-007) April 26, 2016

Preliminary Plat for the minor subdivision of 66.3 acres to create of one commercial lot, two open space lots and one lot for future development located at 4624 Columbia Pike within Roderick Place.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant, Kiser/Vogrin Design has submitted a preliminary plat application to subdivide 66.3 acres in order to create four lots within Roderick Place located at 4624 Columbia Pike.



ANALYSIS

Preliminary Plat

The preliminary plat provides an analysis of the site's special features and the response to those features (LDO Section 5.4.3). Typically, a minor subdivision is the creation of less than five lots and subject to administrative review. However, a minor subdivision cannot include the construction of a roadway. A roadway is necessary for access to the proposed commercial lot. Therefore, this preliminary plat is subject to review and approval by the Planning Commission.

The purpose of this plat is to subdivide 66.3 acres into four lots and to dedicate and construct a public roadway. The plat consists of two open space lots totaling 2.39 acres, one 1.89 acre commercial lot, ¹/₄ acre of right-of-way and the remaining 61.76 acres will be further subdivided upon approval of the concept plan for Roderick Place.

Commercial Lot

The project site is located within the Specific Plan zoning district. The commercial lot is 1.89 acres and will be developed with the Roderick Market which consists of a restaurant and service station with store. Access to the commercial lot will be provided upon the construction of a new roadway (Seabiscuit Lane). The roadway is also the southernmost access for the overall Roderick Place development. Seabiscuit Lane shall be constructed along the north side of the commercial lot with a driveway entrance approximately 200 feet from the intersection with State Route 6/Columbia Pike (Highway 31). The creation of the commercial lot is consistent with the requirements set forth within the land Development Ordinance.

Open Space Lots

Two open space lots are proposed for a total of 2.39 acres. Additional open space will be platted as the remaining sections in Roderick Place are approved and platted.

Remaining Lot

The remaining portion of this project site, 61.76 acres, will be subdivided as part of the future development of the Roderick Place neighborhood.

Construction Plans

Construction plans are submitted and will be reviewed for compliance with all engineering standards set forth within the Land Development Ordinance. Approval of the plat is dependent on approval of the construction plans. During the review of the construction drawings, any engineering issues that are identified, including but not limited to grading, drainage, etc. will be required to be addressed adequately prior to approval. Should any issues require the revision of the plat, it will be the responsibility of the applicant to revise the preliminary plat accordingly to meet all engineering related standards. Any major modifications to the preliminary plat will be subject to review by the Planning Commission.

Construction Route

All construction traffic for the site shall use the existing driveway from Columbia Pike until Seabiscuit Lane is constructed. Once Seabiscuit Lane is constructed traffic will use this road during the construction of the market and the restaurant. Once the construction of this site is complete and other sections within the Roderick Place development are approved and platted, all construction will follow a route approved by the Planning Commission at the time of preliminary plat submittal.

Sewer

Connection to the Town's sewer is necessary and the developer will be required to meet all requirements in order to obtain future entitlements. However, the project site was granted 385 sewer taps with the original approval in 2007. At this time, there is limited infrastructure available to connect to the Town's system, therefore; the applicant will be required to evaluate the infrastructure in proximity to the site, prepare a plan to install and connect to the system in a manner that will meet the needs of the proposed project and conform to the Town's requirements. The information will be provided to the Town during the construction plan process and all improvements shall be required to be completed by the applicant.

RECOMMENDATION

The project, as proposed, is consistent with the approvals granted for the Specific Plan; therefore Staff recommends the Planning Commission approve the preliminary plat for the Roderick Market with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to issuance of grading permits, construction plans shall be submitted and approved. Any upgrades to the utility infrastructure necessary for the project shall be incorporated into the construction plans and shall be completed by the applicant including wastewater approval by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen.
- 2. The construction entrance/route shall be utilized throughout the construction of the project site.
- 3. Prior to approval of the construction plans, the street section for Columbia Pike to include a southbound left turn lane into the project site and a northbound right turn lane shall be reviewed and approved by Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT).
- 4. All road improvements shall be completed by the Developer in accordance with the traffic study recommendations and conclusions.
- 5. Any change of use or expansion of the project site shall conform to the requirements set forth within the Zoning Ordinance and shall be approved prior to the implementation of any changes to the project.

ATTACHMENTS

Preliminary Plat

PRELIMINARY PLAT SUBMITTAL RODERICK PLACE - VILLAGE MARKET

SITE DATA TABLI PROJECT NAME: ADDRESS: TOWN COUNTY: STATE: TOTAL SITE AREA: FRONT SETBACK: SIDE SETBACK & PUD REAR SETBACK REAR PUDE: EXISTING USE

PROPOSED USE PROPOSED SINGLE FAMILY: SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING UNIT MAX. DENSITY: PARCEL INFO PARCEL A:

OWNER DEED BOOK AND PAGE: TAX MAP AND PARCEL:

PARCEL B - TO BE SUBDIVIDED: OWNER DEED BOOK AND PAGE: TAX MAP AND PARCEL:

ZONING CLASSIFICATION JURISDICTION: EXISTING ZONING

LANDSCAPING REGULATIONS REQUIRED OPEN SPACE PROVIDED OPEN SPACE

ENGINEER:

DEVELOPER:

RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL N/A N/A

RODERICK PLACE

WILLIAMSON

TENNESSEE

4626/4624 COLUMBIA PIKE THOMPSON'S STATION

66.3 ACRES (2,888,028 SF)

4626 COLUMBIA PIKE. THOMPSON'S STATION, TN KMK ACRES, LLC DB 6363, PG 951 MAP 146, PARCEL 15.01

4624 COLUMBIA PIKE, THOMPSON'S STATION, TN KMK ACRES, LLC DB 1500, PG 191 MAP 146, PARCEL 15.00

TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION SPECIFIC PLAN - HIGH INTENSITY DISTRIC

2.27 AC. (98,887 SF) - 50% 2.39 AC. (104,209 SF) - 53%

C & L DEVELOPMENT, LLC PO BOX 241 THOMPSON'S STATION. TN 37179 PHONE: (615) 595-5877 CONTACT: LEON HERON

KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES. INC NASHVILLE, TN 37204 (615) 564-2701 BRETT CREASMAN, PE

FLOODPLAIN NOTE: THIS SITE LIES IN ZONE "X" AS DETERMINED AND DEFINED BY THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY. NO PORTIONS OF THIS PROJECT FALL WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FLOODPLAIN, PER THE FEMA FIRM MAP NUMBER 47187C03045F, DATED SEPTEMBER 29, 2006.

OPEN SPACE AND MAINTENANCE: A THIRD PARTY MAINTENANCE GROUP SET UP BY THE DEVELOPER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF SQUARES, PARKS, OPEN SPACES, AMENITY AREAS, ALLEYS AND OTHER DESIGNATED PUBLIC SPACES. THE MAINTENANCE OF LANDSCAPING, IRRIGATION, PARK COMPONENTS AND OTHER ITEMS LOCATED WITHIN THE OPEN SPACES SHALL ALSO BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE THIRD PARTY MAINTENANCE GROUP. UPON ACCEPTANCE BY THE CITY, OF THE PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEM (STREETS, SANITARY SEWER, AND STORM DRAINAGE COMPONENTS) THE TOWN WILL MAINTAIN THOSE COMPONENTS.

SINKHOLE NOTE: THERE ARE NO KNOWN SINKHOLES WITHIN THIS DEVELOPMENT. IF SINKHOLES SHOULD BE FOUND, THEY SHALL BE REMEDIATED PER TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION REGULATIONS.

PROJECT NARRATIVE:

THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAT IS TO SUBDIVIDE EXISTING PARCEL 15.00 INTO 1 COMMERCIAL LOT, 2 OPEN SPACE LOTS, 1 FUTURE LOT AND RIGHT OF WAY.

GENERAL NOTES

1. BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON TENNESSEE STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM OF 1983.

2. THE ZONING FOR THIS PROPERTY IS (SP) SPECIFIC PLAN.

3. MINIMUM REQUIRED SETBACK LINES: YARD FRONTING STREET15'

REAR YARD15 SIDE YARD ...

4. SUBJECT PROPERTY IS A PORTION OF PARCEL 15.00 AS SHOWN ON WILLIAMSON COUNTY TAX MAP 146.

5. CONTOUR ELEVATION INFORMATION SHOWN AS PROVIDED BY AND DATUM SPOT CHECKED BY HARRAH AND ASSOCIATES.

6. BENCHMARK DESCRIPTION: PK NAIL ON EASTERLY EDGE OF PAVEMENT ON COLUMBIA HIGHWAY. N538699.543

E1701962.162 ELEVATION = 778.08

7. NO PORTIONS OF THIS PROJECT FALL WITHIN THE 100-YR FLOODPLAIN. AS OBTAINED FROM INFORMATION CONTAINED IN FEMA FIRM COMMUNITY NUMBER 47187. PANEL NO. CO3045 F, DATED SEPTEMBER, 29 2006.

THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE

JANUARY 27, 2016; MARCH 1, 2016



VICINITY MAP NOT TO SCALE

PREPARED BY: Kimley»Horn

214 Oceanside Drive, Nashville, TN 37204 Main: 615.564.2701 | www.kimley-horn.com © 2016 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.



SUITE B-15 FRANKLIN, TENNESSEE 37067 (615) 778.0863 rogeh@harrahgroup.com

	SHEET INDEX
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
C0-00	COVER SHEET
C2-01	RODERICK MARKET PRELIMINARY PLAT - OVERALL
C2-02	RODERICK MARKET PRELIMINARY PLAT - ENLARGEMENT
L2.0	NATURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY



ROGER HARRAH LS 2039

UTILITY AND GOVERNING AGENCIES CONTACT LIST

TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION WATER DEPARTMENT

POST OFFICE BOX 100 THOMPSON'S STATION, TN 37179

OWNER

C & L DEVELOPMENT LLC PO BOX 241 THOMPSON'S STATION, TN 37179 PHONE: (615) 595-5877 CONTACT: LEON HERON

GAS

ATMOS ENERGY 200 NOAH DRIVE FRANKLIN, TN 37064 PHONE: (615) 794-2596 CONTACT: RON MYATT

HB&TS 505 DOWNS BOULEVARD FRANKLIN, TENNESSEE 37064 (615) 794-7796 CONTACT: TOM PUCKETT

ELECTRIC

MIDDLE TN ELECTRIC 2156 EDWARD CURD LN. FRANKLIN, TN 37067 PHONE: (615) 595-4693 CONTACT: DALE HOOD

SURVEYOR

HARRAH & ASSOC. 504 AUTUMN SPRINGS CT FRANKLIN, TN 37067 PHONE: (615) 778-0863 PHONF: (615) 778-0865 CONTACT: ROGER HARRAH, RLS

APPLICANT/LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

KISER+VOGRIN DESIGN 5005 MERIDIAN BLVD. STE. 100 FRANKLIN, TENNESSEE 37067 PHONE: (615) 620-7171 CONTACT: JEFF ROSIAK

ENGINEER

KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 214 OCEANSIDE DRIVE NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37204 PHONE: (615) 564-2876 CONTACT: BRETT CREASMAN, P.E.

	Pl	_AN	I REVISION	S
REVISION NO.	DA	TE	RE	EMARKS
FILE NUMBER	0		HEET NUMBER	total sheets 4



		PARCE		TABLE	es ac
	Parcel #	Area	Segment	Segment Bearings	ar 204 01 .com and Associat and Associat ard Associat ard Associat ard Associat com
	1	82723	Lengths 153.76 204.37 187.79 263.64 123.42 108.04 81.02 23.21	S8' 50' 15.97"W N83' 05' 23.00"W N59' 51' 10.02"W N30' 08' 49.98"E S58' 06' 20.63"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S10' 27' 54.33"W	214 Oceanside Dr Nashville, TN 37204 Main: 615.564.2701 www.kimley-horn.com © 2016 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. © 2016 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Bot AUTUMN SPRINGS COURT 504 AUTUMN SPRI
	2	74610	126.85 215.44 223.51 60.28 79.23 62.31 75.92 76.34 379.09 17.86 75.86 6.79 3.27 3.74 120.53 7.87 98.64	N32° 06' 30.13"E N32° 53' 23.13"E N32° 52' 57.11"E S42° 01' 03.75"E S52° 02' 16.92"W S34° 17' 55.12"W S1° 42' 26.49"E S18° 13' 45.05"W S6° 50' 46.44"E N57° 23' 23.72"W N55° 33' 43.54"W N55° 33' 43.54"W N55° 33' 43.54"W N55° 33' 43.54"W N55° 33' 43.54"W N55° 37' 35.37"W N54° 20' 44.15"W N58° 13' 52.92"W	nley » Hoi arrah s s o c LATES
	3	29195	102.40 187.79 204.37 153.76 23.21 55.11 176.49 98.13 416.65	N30° 08' 49.98"E S59° 51' 10.02"E S83° 05' 23.00"E N8° 50' 15.97"E N10° 27' 54.33"E S55° 33' 43.54"E S6° 50' 46.44"E N83° 03' 08.67"W N83° 05' 23.00"W	
836- 	4	2688185	$\begin{array}{c} 136.94\\ 126.15\\ 313.78\\ 131.80\\ 132.14\\ 150.21\\ 100.39\\ 151.70\\ 377.86\\ 290.18\\ 58.64\\ 586.26\\ 95.12\\ 544.47\\ 848.46\\ 404.47\\ 837.66\\ 693.76\\ 651.15\\ 211.09\\ 1149.88\\ 176.49\\ 55.11\\ 81.02\\ 40.00\\ 6.79\\ 75.86\\ 17.86\\ 379.09\\ 75.86\\ 17.86\\ 379.09\\ 76.34\\ 75.92\\ 62.31\\ 79.23\\ 60.28\\ \end{array}$	N32' 52' 57.11"E S45' 39' 04.46"E S86' 57' 12.83"E S60' 46' 22.56"E S10' 55' 06.72"W S69' 59' 12.21"E S76' 06' 22.85"E S79' 37' 23.98"E N13' 30' 30.50"E N10' 31' 22.82"E N79' 03' 16.78"W N49' 05' 16.36"W N43' 08' 03.17"E N43' 16' 33.86"E S46' 45' 46.53"E S83' 33' 14.00"E S8' 46' 00.00"W S9' 34' 26.31"W N82' 37' 40.02"W S8' 18' 37.17"W N83' 04' 55.36"W N6' 50' 46.44"W N55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S57' 23' 23.72"E N6' 50' 46.44"W N18' 13' 45.05"E N1' 42' 26.49"W N34' 17' 55.12"E N52' 02' 16.92"E N42' 01' 03.75"W	DERICK COMMERCIA RELIMINARY PLAT THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE
BEN GARY BK 1668 PG 967 ROWC, TN (MAP 145 PAR 4.00)	R.O.W.	11246	51.98 98.64 7.87 120.53 3.74 3.27 40.00 108.04 123.42	N32° 06' 30.13"E S58° 13' 52.92"E S54° 20' 44.15"E S50° 27' 35.37"E S53° 00' 39.45"E S55° 33' 43.54"E S34° 26' 16.46"W N55° 33' 43.54"W N58° 06' 20.63"W	R O D E A A B R
STEVE ADAMS ET AL BK 4013 PG 145 ROWC, TN (MAP 146 PAR 16.00)					
A met.secol S		PROPOSE 	 PROPOS PROPOS PROPOS PROPOS PROPOS PROPOS ASSEMB PROPOS 	ED WATER EASEMENT ED SANITARY SEWER LINE ED WATER LINE ED FORCE MAIN ED GATE VALVE ED FIRE HYDRANT	SNOISINAL SI I
TANGENT 273.02' 256.51'	GRAPH 0 50		E IN FEET 200	NORTH	RODERICK MARKET PRELIMINARY PLAT - OVERALL SHEET NUMBER C2-01

<u>General notes</u>

1. BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON TENNESSEE STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM OF 1983.

2. THE ZONING FOR THIS PROPERTY IS (SP) SPECIFIC PLAN.

3. MINIMUM REQUIRED SETBACK LINES: YARD FRONTING STREET15' REAR YARD.... .15' SIDE YARD...

4. SUBJECT PROPERTY IS A PORTION OF PARCEL 15.00 AS SHOWN ON WILLIAMSON COUNTY TAX MAP 146.

5. CONTOUR ELEVATION INFORMATION SHOWN AS PROVIDED BY AND DATUM SPOT CHECKED BY HARRAH AND ASSOCIATES.

6. BENCHMARK DESCRIPTION: PK NAIL ON EASTERLY EDGE OF PAVEMENT ON COLUMBIA HIGHWAY. N538699.543

E1701962.162 ELEVATION = 778.08

7. NO PORTIONS OF THIS PROJECT FALL WITHIN THE 100-YR FLOODPLAIN, AS OBTAINED FROM INFORMATION CONTAINED IN FEMA FIRM COMMUNITY NUMBER 47187. PANEL NO. CO3045 F, DATED SEPTEMBER, 29 2006.



ŀ	PARCE	L AREA	TABLE	n ssociates, Inc. INNERS
Parcel #	Area	Segment Lengths	Segment Bearings	side Dr TN 37204 564.2701 y-horn.com y-Horn and Asso y-Horn com and y-Horn com agree y-horn and Asso y-Horn and y-Horn a
1	82723	153.76 204.37 187.79 263.64 123.42 108.04 81.02 23.21	S8* 50' 15.97"W N83* 05' 23.00"W N59* 51' 10.02"W N30* 08' 49.98"E S58* 06' 20.63"E S55* 33' 43.54"E S55* 33' 43.54"E S10* 27' 54.33"W	214 Oceanside Dr Nashville, TN 37204 Main: 615.564.2701 www.kimley-horn.com © 2016 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. © 2016 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
2	74610	126.85 215.44 223.51 60.28 79.23 62.31 75.92 76.34 379.09 17.86 75.86 6.79 3.27 3.74 120.53 7.87 98.64	N32' 06' 30.13"E N32' 53' 23.13"E N32' 52' 57.11"E S42' 01' 03.75"E S52' 02' 16.92"W S34' 17' 55.12"W S1' 42' 26.49"E S18' 13' 45.05"W S6' 50' 46.44"E N57' 23' 23.72"W N55' 33' 43.54"W N55' 32' 35.37"W N54' 20' 44.15"W N58' 13' 52.92"W	Mey » Horn a rrah surver a s s o c l a T E S
3	29195	102.40 187.79 204.37 153.76 23.21 55.11 176.49 98.13 416.65	N30° 08' 49.98"E S59° 51' 10.02"E S83° 05' 23.00"E N8° 50' 15.97"E N10° 27' 54.33"E S55° 33' 43.54"E S6° 50' 46.44"E N83° 03' 08.67"W N83° 05' 23.00"W	
4	2688185	136.94 126.15 313.78 131.80 132.14 150.21 100.39 151.70 377.86 290.18 58.64 58.64 58.626 95.12 544.47 848.46 404.47 837.66 693.76 651.15 211.09 1149.88 176.49 55.11 81.02 40.00 6.79 75.86 17.86 379.09 76.34 75.92 62.31 79.23 60.28	N32' 52' 57.11"E S45' 39' 04.46"E S86' 57' 12.83"E S60' 46' 22.56"E S10' 55' 06.72"W S69' 59' 12.21"E S76' 06' 22.85"E S79' 37' 23.98"E N13' 30' 30.50"E N10' 31' 22.82"E N79' 03' 16.78"W N49' 05' 16.36"W N43' 08' 03.17"E N43' 16' 33.86"E S46' 45' 46.53"E S83' 33' 14.00"E S8' 46' 00.00"W S9' 34' 26.31"W N82' 37' 40.02"W S8' 18' 37.17"W N83' 04' 55.36"W N6' 50' 46.44"W N55' 33' 43.54"W N55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S57' 23' 23.72"E N6' 50' 46.44"W N18' 13' 45.05"E N1' 42' 26.49"W N34' 17' 55.12"E N52' 02' 16.92"E N42' 01' 03.75"W N32' 06' 30.13"E	OERICK COMMERCIAI RELIMINARY PLAT THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE
R.O.W.	11246	51.98 98.64 7.87 120.53 3.74 3.27 40.00 108.04 123.42	N32' 06' 30.13"E S58' 13' 52.92"E S54' 20' 44.15"E S50' 27' 35.37"E S53' 00' 39.45"E S55' 33' 43.54"E S34' 26' 16.46"W N55' 33' 43.54"W N58' 06' 20.63"W	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
				DATE BY BARBAR AND BARBARA AND BARBARA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
	<u>PROPOS</u>		EGEND: DSED WATER EASEMENT DSED SANITARY SEWER LINE	PER TOTS COMMENTS
	- ss - w		SED SANITARY SEWER LINE	
	- FM	PROPC PROPC ASSEM PROPC	DSED FORCE MAIN DSED GATE VALVE DSED FIRE HYDRANT IBLY DSED STORM LINE DSED STORM INLET	Ž CJM DESIGNED BY: CJM DRAWN BY: CJM CHECKED BY: BRC DATE: 3/1/2016 KHA PROJECT NO. 118096000
	HIC SCAL 0 40	E IN FEET 80	NORTH	RODERICK MARKET PRELIMINARY PLAT - ENLARGEMENT SHEET NUMBER C2-02

Parcel # Area



the set of the second sec	Description 51 hac	Point #	Description 24 hac	Point #	Description 14 elm	Point #	Descriptio 22 wal
	51 hac 60 tree	-	24 hac 12 hac	-			
					28 wal	-	20 map
the second se	6 map		12 elm		16 wal		12 hic
	36 cdr	-	22 hac		10 hac		18 oak
104	10 ciprs	205	20 wal	306	20 hac	393	6 par
105	10 ciprs	206	20 hac	307	10 hac	394	14 oak
106	12 hac	207	20 wal	308	14 hac	395	6 oak
107	15 map	208	16 hac	309	10 wal	396	10 map
	17 oak	-	8 hac		6 hac		20 wal
	10 red map	-	24 hac		20 wal		18 wal
	60 hic		26 hac			-	
The left of the local division of the			and the second se		30 map	and the second se	6 dog clus
	11 cry		10 map clust		12 wal		6 dog clus
	16 cry	-	20 hac		8 hac tri	-	8 dog tri
	25 tree	-	24 wal	-	12 elm		6 par
	25 wall		18 hac		10 hac		28 hac
115	14 map	216	24 hac	317	10 hac	404	30 hac
116	16 map	217	28 hac	318	18 hac	405	12 dog
117	14 wal	226	20 wal	319	10 hac	406	12 cry
118	16 pine	227	18 wal	320	10 hac		8 mag
	18 map	-	38 hac		24 hac		12 oak
	16 wal		18 hac	-	24 cry	-	8 mag clus
	Charles Statements and Statements	-	interest of the second s			410	o mag ciu
	21 hic		18 hac		32 hac		
	12 map clust	-	12 wal		28 hac		
	17 mag		24 elm		8 hac		
127	48 mag	235	16 wal	326	6 hac		
	22 wal	236	22 wal	327	26 hac		
	18 hac		8 wal		18 hac		
	24 mag twn		14 wal		26 wal	1	
	46 hic	-		-	20 wal	-	
		-	16 wal				
	17 pine		8 map		12 hac		
	50 hol clust		8 map		14 hac tri	-	
	52 hic	242	20 wal	333	14 hac		
135	15 wal	243	22 wal	334	12 hac		
136	15 wal	244	20 wal	335	8 hac twn		
137	15 wal	249	24 wal		14 hac		
138		-	24 wal		6 hac	-	
200.000		-			Commence of the second	-	
	16 wal	-	26 wal	-	8 hac		
	7 wal		20 wal	-	20 hac	-	
141	7 wal	253	26 wal		12 hac twn		
142	14 wal	254	24 wal	341	20 hac		
143	14 wal	255	22 wal	342	10 elm		
144	36 wal	256	16 wal	343	8 hac		
	36 wal twn	-	22 wal	-	12 hac		
11000	24 map clust	-	28 wal	-	30 hac	-	
000000	and the set of the set	-					
	24 wal		28 wal	-	8 hac		
	6 wal		18 wal	and the second second	12 hac		
	10 wal	261	22 wal	348	14 oak		
150	72 wal clust	262	18 wal	349	16 hac		
151	17 map	263	20 wal	350	6 hac twn		
152	10 map	264	24 wal	351	12 hac tri		
	15 map		24 wal	-	16 hac twn		
	15 map	-	24 wal		16 map		
						1	
	15 hic		26 wal	-	12 wal		
	25 elm		26 wal	in the second second	10 hac	-	
157	60 hic	269	26 wal	356	12 hac		
158	10 box	270	28 wal	357	16 hac		
159	40 mag clust	271	15 wal	358	12 hac		
	16 mag clust		32 hac	359	14 hac		
	10 pine		16 hac		12 cry		
	10 map		16 wal		18 wal		
	8 wal		6 loc		14 hac	1	
		-	24 wal		20 hac		
	20 dog clust						
	24 wal	-	8 osa clust	-	14 hac		
	24 wal	-	18 wal	-	14 hac twn	s	
	18 wal	-	20 elm		16 hac		
168	16 wal	280	18 hac	367	14 hac		
169	8 wal	281	16 wal	368	34 hac		
170	24 wal	282	16 hac		26 hac		
	8 dog	-	12 wal		14 hac		
100000	30 hic	-	16 wal		26 hac		
	14 map		28 hac	-	14 hac		
				-			
	32 wal	-	12 wal		32 hac		-
	24 wal		8 wal		20 osa twn		L
111111111	10 mag clust	288	12 wal	375	28 hac		
188	12 wal	289	16 wal	376	22 hac		
	10 wal		18 wal		40 wal		
	22 oak		14 wal		30 hac	1	
	the second s	-		-		-	
	18 map		14 wal		26 hac		
	20 wal		28 hac	65000	12 hic		
193	18 wal		12 wal		24 hac	-	
	20 mag	295	18 hac	382	26 elm		
	30 wal	296	14 hac	383	20 pine		
194	the second s		12 hac		16 pine		
194 195	18 map	147	12 1100				
194 195 196	18 map 22 map	-			16 nine		
194 195 196 197	22 map	298	18 hac	385	16 pine		
194 195 196 197 198		298 299		385 386	16 pine 16 pine 20 pine		

Kindey Short are sized by the state of the s
RODERICK COMMERCIAL PRELIMINARY PLAT THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE
AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURE OVALE P AGRICULTURE OVALE P OVALE P
REVISIONS
2 DESIGNED BY: DK DRAWN BY: KL CHECKED BY: JR DATE: 1/27/2016 KVD PROJECT NO. 14046 NATURAL RESOURCE INVENTORY SHEET NUMBER L2.0

	SLOP	E ANALYSIS	
NUMBER	COLOR	RANGE BEG.	RANGE END
1		15.00%	24.99%
2		25.00%	100.00%

111	LICLOLIND
	hackberry
	maple
	cedar
	walnut
	pine
- Ĥ	hickory
	cherry
	dogwood
	elm
	locust
1	osage
Ĵ	oak
	pear
Ĩ.	magnolia
	cyprus
	box elder





2.0

VH — 100' Charter Right of Way 13 from centerline of track taken from CSX Right of Way &Track Map, Nashville & Decatur R. R. Co. V11798

LEGEND

Iron Rod Existing - iron rod (ex)
Iron Rod Set - iron rod (s)
Deed Call - (100.00')
Fence - `0-0-0-00
Property Line -
Railroad Track +++++++
Utility Pole w guy wire
Trees greater than 20" 🔆

4th CIVIL DISTRICT WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

WHISTLE STOP FARMS, LLC

144 SOUTHEAST PARKWAY

SUITE 230

FRANKLIN, TN 37064 PHONE (615) 567-4424

SHEET 1 OF 9

REVISED 04/14/16

DATE 03/23/16

PHASE 1 --AREA NOT INCLUDED IN TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY EUGENE & MARSHA WILLIAMS MAP 146K GROUP A PARCEL 16 Deed Book 5460 Page EXISTING CATTLE GAP PATRICK & PATRICIA SPRINGER (13) /Inv In 791.47 MAP 146K GROUP A PARCEL 17 Deed Book 2054 Page 76 _____ SAMUEL & REBECCA MIZELL (1) MAP 146K GROUP A PARCEL 18 Deed Book 5294 Page 120 JAMES D. & KATREAN PORTER MAP 146K GROUP A PARCEL 20 Deed Book 2408 Page 763 - GEORGE C. & LINDA A. BROWN MAP 146K GROUP A PARCEL NO DEED -150' CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE REFER TO CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS FOR DETAIL 24" METAL PIPE INVi 795.1 INVo 795.0 ----- & Road RR STA. 3958-70 --- CSX Offset in Property RR STA. 3958-65 - TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION MAP 146 PARCEL 40.01 Deed Book 1521 Page 486 L-JANIE LOU BROWN MAP 146N GROUP A PARCEL 2 Deed Book 886 Page 781 PRELIMINARY PLAT WHISTLE STOP FARMS TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION,

				•				
						c	NICCT 6	
						C	SHEET 6	
								\backslash
								\backslash
				+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++				
					+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	~ /.	<u> </u>	
						****	<i>┝┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊┊</i>	
					~ .			I
	DELTA ANGLE [RADIUS 42'56'00.10" 100.00	CURVE TABLE ARC LENGTH CHC 74.93' 73.1	RD LENGTHICHORD BEA 9' S 74'09'39' 33' N 49'41'48'	W C94 92'4	CURVE T/ A ANGLE [RADIUS ARC LENG 2'45.63" 25.00' 40.45' 0'13.17" 25.00' 32.14'	BLE TH CHORD LENG 36.18' 29.98'	TH CHORD BEARING S 42'35'26" E N 54'13'05" E	
C3 C4 C5	91'51'42.19" 225.00' 41'56'21.25" 300.00' 39'36'34.51" 200.00' 24'27'21.77" 1025.00' 64'36'02.01" 225.00'	219.59' 214 138.26' 135 437.51' 434	72' N 24*44'08' 53' N 25*54'01' 20' N 06*07'57'	E C96 11'4 E C97 14'5 W C98 1'42 W C99 90'0	3'43.27" 325.00' 66.53' 3'26.37" 325.00' 84.46' '10.40" 325.00' 9.66' 0'00.00" 25.00' 39.27'	66.41 84.23 9.66 35.36	N 23'14'50" E N 36'33'25" E N 44'51'13" E N 00'42'19" E	
C8 C9 C10	23'23'43.03" 100.00' 26'37'05.36" 1565.00' 18'51'57.67" 321.00' 7'21'23.09" 1475.00'	727.06' 720 105.70' 105 189.38' 189	54' S 80'17'55 22' N 84'34'00' 25' N 85'16'07'	W C101 6*42 E C102 32*5 W C103 5*24	0'00.00" 25.00' 39.27' 34.67" 175.00' 20.49' 3'59.85" 175.00' 100.49' 44.52" 1000.00' 94.46' 35.53" 1000.00' 98.20'	35.36' 20.48' 99.11' 94.43' 98.16'	S 89'17'41" E N 42'21'01" E N 22'32'44" E N 03'23'22" E N 02'07'48" W	
C12 C13 C14	10°17'25.01" 175.00' 51°20'01.71" 300.00' 70°56'47.81" 100.00' 77°03'06.35" 100.00' 21°35'25.95" 650.00'	268.78' 259 123.83' 116 134.48' 124	88' N 18'37'41 .06' N 47'20'59' .57' S 58'39'04	W C105 5'37 E C106 5'37 E C107 2'09		98.16' 98.16' 37.77' 95.40'	N 07'45'24" W N 13'22'59" W N 17'16'42" W N 32'09'31" W	
C16 C17 C18 C19	5°34'34.66" (650.00' 8°21'14.19" (650.00' 31'09'14.65" (200.00' 33'13'04.67" (650.00'	63.26' 63.2 94.77' 94.0 108.75' 107 376.85' 371	9' N 00'03'58 41' N 70'10'48 59' S 69'08'53'	E C110 900 W C111 123 E C112 103	0'15.45" 200.00' 129.17' 0'00.00" 25.00' 39.27' 5'09.85" 275.00' 60.41' 5'13.90" 325.00' 60.05'	126.94' 35.36' 60.29' 59.97'	N 64*27'32" W S 52*02'20" W S 38*00'07" E N 39*00'05" W N 25'49'09" W	
C21 C22 C23	15'47'10.63" 200.00' 37'00'06.28" 500.00 20'02'03.06" 300.00' 11'46'28.95" 300.00'	322.90' 317 104.90' 104 61.65' 61.	.32' N 86'39'59 .37' N 02'09'49 4' N 18'04'05	E C114 15'4 W C115 9'11 W C116 4'50	6'37.56" 325.00' 89.49' 6'37.56" 325.00' 89.49' '32.69" 325.00' 89.49' '14.96" 525.00' 44.33' '14.96" 475.00' 40.10'	89.21' 89.21' 52.09' 44.31' 40.09'	N 10'02'31" W N 02'26'34" E N 09'27'28" E S 09'27'28" W	i //
C25 C26 C27	42'56'00.10" 75.00' 58'11'34.52" 250.00' 91'51'42.19" 200.00' 51'20'01.71" 275.00' 77'03'06.35" 75.00'	320.66' 287 246.38' 238	.14' S 66'31'52 .40' S 49'41'48 .23' S 18'37'41	W C118 90'0 W C119 17'5	14.36 17.500 10.27 0'00.00" 25.00' 39.27' 6'53.40" 250.00' 78.31' 9'11.38" 250.00' 86.48' 9'06.82" 250.00' 117.02'	35.36' 77.99' 86.05' 115.95'	S 37'57'40" E S 73'59'13" E S 55'06'11" E S 31'47'02" E	
C29 C30	21'35'25.95" 625.00' 5'34'34.66" 675.00' 8'21'14.19" 625.00' 90'00'00.00" 25.00'	235.52' 234 65.69' 65. 91.13' 91.	.13' N 09'19'48 57' N 01'19'22 55' N 00'03'58 56' N 49'14'35	W C122 221 W C123 733 E C124 172 E C125 610	7'05.84" 275.00' 106.96' 2'22.78" 24.23' 31.11' 0'47.18" 234.99' 71.14' '57.15" 225.00' 24.28'	106.29' 29.01' 70.87' 24.27'	S 34'33'46" W N 81'52'48" E S 70'45'25" E S 82'39'57" E	
C35 C36	19'49'40.31" 175.00' 89'06'18.32" 25.00' 17'04'49.44" 225.00' 24'27'21.77" 1050.00	38.88' 35. 67.07' 66. 448.18' 444	26' <u>\$ 75'50'35</u> 28' <u>\$ 21'22'36</u> 33' <u>\$ 14'38'09</u> .79' <u>\$ 06'07'57</u>	E C126 6'04 E C127 10'0 W C128 10'0 E C129 1'45	'08.44" 625.00' 66.20' 7'40.25" 625.00' 110.48' 7'40.25" 625.00' 110.48' 7'40.25" 625.00' 110.48' 7'40.25" 625.00' 110.48' 7'40.25" 625.00' 110.48' 7'40.25" 625.00' 110.48'	66.17' 110.33' 110.33' 19.23' 21.45'	S 82*43'21" E S 74*37'27" E S 64*29'46" E S 58*33'03" E S 32*15'59" E	
C39	65'58'25.64" 25.00' 91'01'16.20" 25.00' 86'24'30.76" 25.00' 19'03'08.11" 346.00' 20'18'41.97" 2010.00	39.72' 35. 37.70' 34.	57' <u>S 26*52'00</u> 23' <u>S 61*50'54</u>	W C131 60°2 E C132 56°3 E C133 57°2	8'22.59" 25.00' 22.17' 4'05.42" 50.00' 52.71' 7'57.13" 50.00' 49.42' 5'58.40" 50.00' 50.12' 5'31.54" 50.00' 48.08"	50.30' 47.43' 48.05' 46.25'	S 37'03'50' E N 84'25'08' E N 27'23'10' E N 28'52'34' W	
C42 C43 C44	100°35'12.30" 1810.00 82°35'41.30" 1910.00 16°33'11.65" 1810.00 17°54'42.90" 1910.00	3177.58' 278 2753.36' 252 522.92' 52	4.96' N 35'38'47 1.08' N 26'39'01 .11' N 06'22'13	E C135 464 E C136 455 W C137 2'41	3'30.99" 50.00' 40.78' 1'31.80" 25.00' 20.01' '30.88" 675.00' 31.71' 342.39" 675.00' 90.07'	39.65' 19.48' 31.71' 90.00'	N 79°47'06" W N 80°13'05" W N 58°38'05" W N 63°48'12" W	
C46 C47 C48 C49	6*06'05.03" 1910.00 42*55'54.18" 125.00' 14*05'25.17" 250.00' 76*33'32.59" 25.00'	203.39' 203 93.66' 91. 61.48' 61. 33.41' 30.	3.30' S 87'25'23 49' S 74'09'42 33' S 44'28'48 97' S 75'42'51	W C140 738 W C141 250 W C142 900	27.71 675.00 33.47 00'00.00 25.00 39.27	90.00' 90.00' 33.47' 35.36'	N 71°26'54" W N 79°05'36" W N 84°20'11" W N 40°45'25" W	
C50 C51 C52 C53	20°23'10.34" 125.00' 3'08'00.35" 1590.00 3'14'34.20" 1590.00 3'14'37.31" 1590.00	44.48' 44. 86.96' 86. 89.99' 89. 90.01' 90.	24' N 76'11'58 94' N 87'57'33 98' S 88'51'10 00' S 85'36'34	W C143 3*1 W C144 5*10 W C145 5*3 W C146 3*25	'01.68" 675.00' 37.51' 12.51" 675.00' 60.91' 134.66" 625.00' 60.83' 303.37" 675.00' 40.26' 414.24" 25.00' 37.48'	37.50' 60.89' 60.80' 40.26' 34.07'	N 02'39'04" E N 01'31'33" W N 01'19'22" W N 00'14'36" W N 40'59'59" E	L_\
C55 C56 C57	3*14*30.52* 1590.00 3*40*48.58* 1590.00 3*14*32.94* 1590.00 3*14*12.86* 1590.00 3*14*12.86* 1590.00	102.13' 10 89.98' 89 89.83' 89	2.11' S 78'54'21 97' S 75'26'40 81' S 72'12'17	W C148 15* W C149 8*02 W C150 15* W C151 51*0	64'14.24" 25.00' 37.48' 7'10.63" 225.00' 61.99' 2'35.48" 475.00' 66.68' 15'55.64" 475.00' 126.56' 11'13.95" 25.01' 22.27'	61.80' 66.63' 126.18' 21.54'	N 76°03'31" E N 72°11'13" E N 83°50'29" E S 16°40'20" E	\setminus
C60 C61	3*14'35.70" 1590.00 0*21'28.17" 1581.04 1*36'16.88" 1540.00 92*45'42.68" 25.00' 42*23'57.73" 23.00'	9.87' 9.8 43.13' 43 40.47' 36	7' <u>S</u> 67'23'37 13'N 67'47'30 20'S 65'01'30 63'S 02'33'20	W C152 429 E C153 409 E C154 310 W C155 833	9'07.49" 467.57' 36.60' 5'21.22" 325.00' 23.20' 5'58.58" 325.00' 18.05' 5'30.37" 325.00' 48.74'	36.59' 23.19' 18.05' 48.69'	N 04*51*51" W S 10*08*10" E S 13*46*20" E S 19*39*34" E	
C63 C64 C65 C66	70°22'03.70° 42.00' 100°39'12.43° 42.00' 50°49'39.19° 23.99' 14°08'49.50° 296.00'	51.58' 48 73.78' 64 21.28' 20 73.09' 72	40' S 11*25*43 65' N 83*03*39 59' N 58*08*53 90' N 82*12*26	E C156 48° E C157 81° E C158 51° E C159 63°	11'22.87" 25.00' 21.03' 52'37.68" 50.00' 71.45' 52'58.79" 50.00' 44.55' 59'38.07" 50.00' 55.12	20.41' 65.52' 43.09' 52.37'	S 00°08'22" W S 16°42'16" E S 83°10'04" E N 39°43'38" E	
C67 C68 C69 C70	4*43'08.18" 296.00' 109*25'13.93" 25.00' 90*14'01.48" 25.00' 12*38'02.56" 250.00'	24.38' 24 47.74' 40 39.37' 35 55.13' 55	37' S 88'21'35 81' S 31'17'24 43' N 48'52'56 01' N 10'04'59	E C160 80° E C161 48° E C162 11° E C163 20°	17'31.18" 50.00' 70.07' 11'22.87" 25.00' 21.03' 46'28.95" 275.00' 56.51' 02'03.06" 275.00' 96.16' 25'45.29" 25.01' 42.96'	64.47' 20.41' 56.42' 95.67' 37.87'	N 31'59'57" W N 48'03'01" W N 18'04'05" W N 02'09'49" W N 55'56'40" E	
C71 C72 C73 C74	8817'02.77" 25.00' 14'30'29.87" 75.00' 3'28'22.33" 1540.00 3'57'31.72" 1540.00	38.52' 34 18.99' 18 '93.34' 93 '106.41' 10	94' N 79'08'18 33' N 88'07'44 5.38' S 88'09'19	W C165 49 W C166 84 W C167 62	25'45.29" 25.01' 42.96' 30'26.42" 25.00' 21.60' 41'44.33" 55.00' 76.87' 45'52.18" 52.00' 56.96' 58'59.71" 52.00' 57.16'	37.87' 20.94' 70.06' 54.16' 54.33'	S 50°04'45" E S 67'40'24" E N 38'35'48" E N 24'16'38" W	
C75 C76 C77 C78 C79	3*39'10.37" 1540.00 3*21'01.31" 1540.00 6*47'43.87" 1540.00 92*42'45.63" 25.00' 2*46'20.64" 1500.00	'90.05' 90 '182.65' 18 40.45' 36	04' S 80'50'52 2.54' S 75'46'30 18' S 42'35'26	W C169 68 W C170 49 E C171 23 E C172 85	34'16.61" 52.00' 62.23' 30'26.42" 25.00' 21.60' 4'27.42" 434.19' 19.51' 3'10.28" 579.90' 89.94'	58.59' 20.94' 19.51' 89.85'	S 89*56'44" W S 80*24'49" W N 74*49'58" W N 82*05'52" W	
	2*46*20.64************************************	'89.18' 89 '30.82' 30 39.13' 35	17' <u>\$ 84'28'16</u> 82' <u>\$ 82'10'45</u> 25' <u>\$ 36'45'16</u> 44' <u>N 82'29'1</u> '	E C173 9°2 E C174 9°4 E C175 5°5 W C176 15°	1'50.98" 525.00' 85.80' 2'25.39" 525.00' 88.95' 9'19.06" 525.00' 54.87' 47'10.63" 175.00' 48.22'	85.71' 88.84' 54.85' 48.06'	S 88'32'35" W S 79'00'27" W S 71'09'35" W S 76'03'31" W	
C84 C85 C86 C87	3'56'31.88 1450.00 88'54'21.12" 25.00' 4'18'51.19" 200.00' 43'27'17.84" 25.00'	' 99.77' 99 38.79' 35 15.06' 15 18.96' 18	75' N 85'21'2' 02' S 48'13'0' 06' S 05'55'2' 51' S 13'38'5'	5" W C177 85" 8" W C178 8"5 5" W C179 1"0 5" W C179 1"0 5" W C179 1"0	54'14.24" 25.00' 37.48' 5'14.88" 675.00' 105.10' 3'36.18" 675.00' 12.49' 26'25.72" 125.00' 40.23'	34.07' 104.99' 12.49' 40.06'	N 53'05'47" W N 14'36'17" W N 19'35'43" W N 29'20'44" W N 55'11'39" W	
C88 C89	45'10'35.78" 50.00' 83'54'11.40" 50.00' 87'15'41.80" 50.00' 32'41'00.93" 50.00' 55'56'34.37" 25.00'	39.42' 38 73.22' 66 76.15' 69 28.52' 28	41' S 12'47'1 .85' S 51'45'12 .00' N 42'39'5 .14' N 44'06'0 .45' N 32'28'1	2" W C182 25 1" W C183 15 1" E C184 33	15'25.48" 125.00' 72.56' 21'15.15" 125.00' 55.31 41'32.68" 125.00' 34.24' 35'13.85" 125.00' 73.28' 40'01.28" 125.00' 47.27'	71.54' 54.86' 34.13' 72.23' 46.99'	N 55'11'39 W N 84'29'59" W S 74'58'37" W S 50'20'13" W S 22'42'36" W	
C91 C92	55'56'34 37" 125 00'	24.41' 23			56'47.81" 75.00' 92.87'	87.05'	N 47'20'59" E	







SHEET 4 OF 9







★ ASTRIC REPRESENTS CRITICAL LOT AND WILL BE SUBJECT TO ENGINEERING SITE PLAN AND FOOTING DETAIL.

LOUISE M. STRONG MAP 146 PARCEL 41 Deed Book 3465 Page 205

— Meandering Fence Line



GPS NAD 83

PRELIMINARY PLAT WHISTLE STOP FARMS

TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION, 4th CIVIL DISTRICT WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE WHISTLE STOP FARMS, LLC 144 SOUTHEAST PARKWAY SUITE 230 FRANKLIN, TN 37064 PHONE (615) 567-4424 SHEET 5 OF 9 REVISED 04/14/16 DATE 03/23/16












<u>10.</u>	TREE	<u>SIZE</u>	<u>HEALTH</u>	<u>STATUS</u>		<u>NO.</u>	TREE	<u>SIZE</u>
	HICKORY	31"	FAIR	REMOVED			LOCUST	24"
	OSAGE	28"	FAIR	REMOVED			LOCUST	<u>26"</u> 24"
	OSAGE	23"	FAIR				HACKBERRY OSAGE	 30"
4 5	CEDAR	29" 24"	FAIR FAIR	REMOVED			LOCUST	30"
5 6	OSAGE OSAGE	24 28"	FAIR	REMOVED		312	LOCUST	24"
07	OSAGE	29"	FAIR	REMOVED		313	LOCUST	28"
8	HICKORY	28"	FAIR	REMOVED		314	HACKBERRY	34"
9	OSAGE	25"	FAIR	REMOVED		315	HACKBERRY	26"
0	HICKORY	24"	FAIR	REMOVED		316	HACKBERRY	30"
1	HICKORY	24"	FAIR	REMOVED		317	HACKBERRY OAK	<u>36"</u> 24"
12	OAK	34"	FAIR	REMOVED		318 319	OAK	<u></u> 24"
<u>19</u> 20	POPLAR	<u>27"</u> 26"	FAIR FAIR	REMOVED		320	OAK	32"
20	OAK	26"	FAIR	REMOVED		321	OSAGE	28"
23	OSAGE	24"	FAIR	REMOVED		322	HACKBERRY	24"
24	OAK	36"	FAIR			323	OAK	24"
25	MAPLE	24"	FAIR	REMOVED		324	ΟΑΚ	34"
26	PECAN	24"	FAIR	REMOVED		325	OAK	45"
27	OAK	36"	FAIR	ļ		326	OAK OSAGE	24" 24"
29	MAPLE	48"	FAIR	<u> </u>		327 328	OSAGE	36"
30	MAPLE	24"	FAIR	DEMOVED		320	CEDAR	24"
31	MAPLE	<u>24"</u> 24"	FAIR	REMOVED	ł	329	OSAGE	44"
33	PECAN	<u>24"</u> 24"	FAIR FAIR	I REIVIOVED	1	331	OAK	41"
35 36	PINE HACKBERRY	<u>24"</u> 39"	FAIR	1	1	332	HACKBERRY	24"
35	HACKBERRY	35"	FAIR	1	1	333	HACKBERRY	24"
38	HACKBERRY	36"	FAIR		1	334	ΟΑΚ	28"
39	HACKBERRY	40"	DEAD	REMOVED]	335	OSAGE	40"
40	HACKBERY	29"	FAIR			336	OSAGE	30"
41	HACKBERRY	26"	FAIR		1	337	OSAGE	46"
42	ΟΑΚ	36"	FAIR	REMOVED	-	338	OSAGE	24"
243	OAK	36"	FAIR	REMOVED	4	339	OAK	26" 64"
244	OAK	24"	FAIR		4	340		24"
45	MAPLE	48"	FAIR		-	341 342	MAPLE OAK	44"
246	MAPLE	48"	FAIR		-	342	OSAGE	28"
47	PINE	26" 30"	FAIR FAIR		-	343	OAK	32"
48	OAK	26"	FAIR		1	345	OAK	30'
49 50	CEDAR MAPLE	28"	FAIR		1	346	BEECH	34'
50 51	HACKBERRY	25"	FAIR		1	347	ΟΑΚ	32"
252	MAPLE	45"	FAIR		1	348	OAK	32'
253	OAK	48"	FAIR			349	OAK	42'
254	PINE	25"	FAIR		-	350	CHERRY	24'
255	MAPLE	24"	FAIR		-	351	CEDAR	24
257	ΟΑΚ	28"	FAIR	REMOVED		352	OAK	24' 30'
258	OAK	26"	FAIR	REMOVED		353 354	OAK	24
259	PECAN	<u>26"</u> 48"	FAIR FAIR			355	OAK	30
260	OAK	48 45"	FAIR	REMOVEL	-	356	ELM	32
261 262		45"	FAIR	REMOVE	-	357	OAK	24
263	HACKBERRY	32"	FAIR	REMOVE	-	358	OAK	24
264	HACKBERRY	32"	FAIR	REMOVE	D	359	OAK	28
265	MAPLE	36"	FAIR	REMOVE	D	360	OAK	26
266	OAK	37"	FAIR	REMOVE	D	361	OAK	36
267	HACKBERRY		FAIR	REMOVE	믿	362		30
268	WALNUT	40"	FAIR		_	363		33
269	HACKBERRY		FAIR	REMOVE		364		24
270	HACKBERRY		FAIR		빅	365 366	OAK OAK	32
271	OSAGE	24"				365	OAK	28
272	OSAGE	30"-TWI 34"	N FAIR		-	368	OAK	28
273 274	OSAGE OSAGE	48"	FAIR		-1	369	HACKBERRY	
274	OAK	38"	FAIR		-	370	OAK	24
276	LOCUST	30"	FAIR			371	OAK	26
277	OSAGE	26"	FAIR	REMOVE	D	372	OAK	2
278	OSAGE	24"	FAIR			373		2
279	OSAGE	38"	FAIR		D	374	OAK OAK	20
280	HACKBERRY		FAIR			375		2
281		24" 26"	FAIF FAIF			376	OAK	2
282 283	CEDAR	26"	FAIR		_	378	OAK	2
283	HACKBERRY		FAIF			379	OAK	5
285	LOCUST	28"	FAIF			380	OAK	2
286	OAK	24"	FAIF		D	381	ΟΑΚ	2
287	OAK	24"	FAIF	REMOVE	D	382	OAK	2
288	OAK	24"	FAIF		D	383		2
289	OAK	34"	FAI			384	OAK	2
290		36"	FAII			385		3
291		28"	FAI			386 387	OAK OAK	2
292			FAI			387		
293		<u>30"</u>	FAI		-	389		2
294		<u>Y 24"</u> 24"	FAI FAI		-	389		2
295 296		24"	FAI		-	391		2
296		24	FAI		ED	392		2
297		24	FAI			393	OAK	2
302		20	FAI		ED	394	OAK	2
303		26"	FAI			395		2
304		24"	FAI	R		396		2
	LOCUST	34"	FAI	D		397	OSAGE	2

1 82	GREAI	EK		
<u>NO.</u>	TREE	<u>SIZE</u>	<u>HEALTH</u>	<u>STATUS</u>
307	LOCUST	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
	LOCUST	26"	FAIR	REMOVED
	HACKBERRY	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
		30" 30"	FAIR FAIR	REMOVED
311 312	LOCUST LOCUST	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
313	LOCUST	28"	FAIR	REMOVED
313	HACKBERRY	34"	FAIR	
315	HACKBERRY	26"	FAIR	REMOVED
316	HACKBERRY	30"	FAIR	REMOVED
317	HACKBERRY	36"	FAIR	
318	OAK	24"	FAIR	
319	OAK	24"	FAIR	
320	OAK	32"	FAIR	
321	OSAGE	28"	FAIR	
322	HACKBERRY	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
323	OAK	24"	FAIR	
324	OAK	34"	FAIR	
325	OAK	45"	FAIR	
326	OAK	24"	FAIR	
327	OSAGE	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
328	OSAGE	36"	FAIR	REMOVED
329	CEDAR	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
330	OSAGE	44"	FAIR	
331	OAK	41"	FAIR	ļ
332	HACKBERRY	24"	FAIR	
333	HACKBERRY	24"	FAIR	╂┦
334	OAK	28"	FAIR	<u> </u>
335	OSAGE	40"	FAIR	
336	OSAGE	30"	FAIR	<u> </u>
337	OSAGE	46"	FAIR	<u> </u>]
338	OSAGE	24"	FAIR	<u> </u>
339	OAK	26" 64"	FAIR	┥───┤
340	MAPLE	<u>64"</u> 24"	FAIR FAIR	REMOVED
341		44"	FAIR	ALMOVED
342	OAK OSAGE	28"	FAIR	+
343 344	OAK	32"	FAIR	REMOVED
344		30"	FAIR	REMOVED
345	BEECH	34"	FAIR	The first state of the state of
340	OAK	32"	FAIR	
348	OAK	32"	FAIR	
349	OAK	42"	FAIR	REMOVED
350	CHERRY	24"	FAIR	
351	CEDAR	24"	FAIR	
352	OAK	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
353	OAK	30"	FAIR	REMOVED
354	OAK	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
355	OAK	30"	FAIR	REMOVED
356	ELM	32"	FAIR	REMOVED
357	OAK	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
358	OAK	24"	FAIR	
359	OAK	28"	FAIR	
360	OAK	26"	FAIR	REMOVED
361	OAK	36"	FAIR	REMOVED
362	OAK	30"	FAIR	REMOVED
363	OAK	33"	FAIR	REMOVED
364	OAK	24"	FAIR	REMOVED
365	OAK	26"	FAIR	REMOVED
366	OAK	32"	FAIR	REMOVED
367	OAK	28"	FAIR	REMOVED
368		28"	FAIR	REMOVED
369	HACKBERR		FAIR	REMOVED
370		24" 26"	FAIR FAIR	
371		26	FAIR	
372 373		26"	FAIR	
373	OAK	26"	FAIR	
374	OAK	28"	FAIR	
376	OAK	24"	FAIR	
377	OAK	26"	FAIR	REMOVED
378	ОАК	26"	FAIR	REMOVED
379	ΟΑΚ	50"	FAIR	REMOVED
380	ΟΑΚ	26"	FAIR	REMOVED
381	ΟΑΚ	26"		
382	OAK	24"		
383	ΟΑΚ	26"		
384	OAK	26"		
385	OAK	30"		
386	OAK	34"		
387	OAK	28"		
388		33"		
389		27"		
390		24"		
		<u>24"</u> 24"		
391	OAK			
392		24		
392 393	ΟΑΚ	24"		
392 393 394	OAK OAK	25"	FAIF	1
392 393 394 395	OAK OAK OAK	25" 25"	FAIF FAIF	۲ ۲
392 393 394	OAK OAK OAK OAK	25"	FAIF FAIF FAIF	2 2 2



NO	TES
----	-----

1. ALL TREES THAT WILL REMAIN ON SITE SI
AROUND THE DRIPLINE OF THE TREE TO PR
2. IF EARTHWORK IS NECESSARY WITHIN TH
BE REQUIRED.
3. NO EQUIPMENT, SUPPLIES OR ANY OTHE
PROTECTED TREE.
4. NO DIRT OR OTHER FILL MATERIAL SHALL
5. NO SIGNAGE SHALL BE PERMITTED ON A

TOTAL INCHES REMOVED: 2,515 INCHES REPLACEMENT REQUIRED: 3,773 INCHES

REPLACEMENT RATIO 1.5:1 PER LAND DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE ADOPTED 9/29/2015







TREE REPLACEMENT PLAN



2,515
3,773
3,774
-



STREET TREE NOTES

TOTAL TREES PROVIDED: 450 TOTAL INCHES PROVIDED: 1,350

1. STREET TREES INSTALLED AT 3" CALIPER.

2. STREET TREES TO HAVE A STRONG CENTRAL LEADER.

3. STREET TREES SHALL BE CENTERED IN THE 6' TREE STRIP PROVIDED BETWEEN SIDEWALK AND CURB AND GUTTER.

4. TREES SHALL BE PLANTED A MINIMUM OF 2' FROM EDGE OF SIDEWALK AND BACK OF CURB. 5. STREET TREES SHALL BE PLANTED 5' FROM STREET LIGHTS AND UTILITIES. 6. SPECIES SHALL BE ROTATED SO THAT NO TWO ALIKE TREES ARE PLANTED SIDE BY SIDE.

PIN OAK



LONDON PLANETREE TULIP POPLAR

RED MAPLE

LACEBARK ELM JAPANESE ZELKOVA

LOT TREES

TOTAL TREES PROVIDED: 326 TOTAL INCHES PROVIDED: 978

1. LOT TREES INSTALLED AT 3" CALIPER.

2. LOT TREES TO BE INSTALLED A MINIMUM OF 5' FROM STREET LIGHTS, UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, UTILIY METERS AND SERVICE LINES, FENCES, WALLS AND OTHER GROUND LEVEL

OBSTRUCTIONS. 3. LOT TREES TO BE INSTALLED A MINIMUM OF 10' FROM THE HOME IN EITHER THE FRONT OR REAR YARD.

4. TREE SPECIES TO BE ALTERNATED FOR VARIETY.



LACEBARK ELM

SITE TREES

TOTAL TREES PROVIDED: 482 TOTAL INCHES PROVIDED: 1,446 1. LOT TREES INSTALLED AT 3" CALIPER. 2. LOT TREES TO BE INSTALLED A MINIMUM OF 5' FROM STREET LIGHTS, UNDERGROUND UTILITIES, UTILIY METERS AND SERVICE LINES, FENCES, WALLS AND OTHER GROUND LEVEL OBSTRUCTIONS.





 \mathbf{P}

σ

S

E

σ



Revision Date 1. April 18, 2016







Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 4 (File: PP 2016-002) April 26, 2016

Preliminary Plat for the creation of 163 residential lots, one commercial lot and 13 open space lots within the Whistle Stop development.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The applicant, Whistle Stop Farms, LLC has submitted a preliminary plat application to subdivide 131.45 acres to create 163 residential lots, one commercial lot and 13 open space lots located at 1715 School Street and 1565 Thompson's Station Road West.



BACKGROUND

The project site is located within the Planned Neighborhood district along with T4 and has a BOMA approved concept plan for the development of a single-family neighborhood with one commercial lot adjacent to the town center. The site is bounded by residential to the north (across CSX) and east, agricultural land to the west (across CSX) and institutional and parkland to the south (Heritage Middle and Elementary schools).

ANALYSIS

Preliminary Plat

The preliminary plat provides an analysis of the site's special features and the response to those features (LDO Section 5.4.3). The purpose of this plat is to subdivide the project site into 163

single family residential lots, one commercial lot and 13 open space lots for the development of the Whistle Stop neighborhood.

Lot Standards

Planned Neighborhood standards

Within the Planned Neighborhood zone, front yard setbacks are a minimum of 10 feet, seven and a half (7.5) feet for the side yards and 30 feet for the rear yards. Lot widths will vary between 80 - 90 feet with the exception of cul-de-sac lots.

General residential standards (applicable to the Planned Neighborhood zone)

In addition, the project is subject to the development standards as identified in Section 4.10 of the Land Development Code. Maximum lot coverage of 40% is permitted. The garages must meet minimum interior dimensions of 22 by 22 feet and must be recessed from the front façade.

T4 standards

Within the T4 zone, front yard setbacks will be a maximum of 20 feet, 0 or 12 feet side yards and five (5) feet for rear yards as specified within Table 4.5.

Critical Lots

No development is occurring on slopes in excess of 25%; however, several lots do have slopes between 15% and 20% thereby requiring critical lot designations. Lots 10, 43, 50 - 51, 61, 71 - 72, 74 - 79, 85 - 96, 101 - 107, 120 - 123, 140 - 144 and 159 - 160 are designated as critical lots. A mass grading plan will be reviewed with the construction plans and all critical lots will require engineered site plans to address all site specific issues when building permit is required.

Commercial Lot

One commercial lot is proposed along the northeast border of the site adjacent to Thompson's Station Road West. The lot is .25 acres and will require the review of a site plan by the Planning Commission and review of the architecture by the Design Review Commission prior to the construction of any buildings.

Open Space Lots

Thirteen open space lots are proposed for a total of 65.9 acres of open space resulting in a total of 52% of the overall site. The open space lots will be recorded as final plats are approved in compliance with the approved concept plan for the Planned Neighborhood.

Tree Removal

Eighty eight trees will be removed with a minimum diameter of 24 inches for a total of 2,515 inches in order to create buildable pads for lots and the construction of roadways. All "non-invasive trees of 24 inches in caliper and greater" are subject to the requirements set forth within the LDO for replacement at a ratio of 1.5:1 tree for every removal, thereby requiring the replacement of 3,772.5 inches of trees be planted on site. The landscape plan includes 444 street trees, 326 lot trees and 488 trees on the remaining site for a total of 1,258 trees. These trees will be three inch caliper trees for replacement of a total of 3,774 inches of trees. These trees include Pin Oak, Overcup Oak, Red Maple, Sugar Maple, Tulip Poplar, Lacebark Elm, River Birch, Bald Cypress, Red Cedar, and Loblolly Pine.

Geotechnical

A geotechnical report was submitted with the project and no known sinkholes or other geologic hazards were identified and all development is located outside of slopes exceeding 25% or greater.

Construction Plans

The construction documents provide all the necessary engineering for the development. All engineering issues will be identified and addressed, including but not limited to grading, drainage, etc. prior to the issuance of any grading permits. Therefore, should any issues arise during the construction plan review that requires changes to the preliminary plat; it shall be incumbent on the applicant to revise the preliminary plat accordingly to meet all engineering related standards.

Construction Route

The development will utilize the existing entrance on Thompson's Station Road West as a construction entrance. As the final plat phasing is completed, the applicant will provide additional information related to the construction route. Staff recommends that prior to the approval of construction drawings a construction route is identified and approved.

Traffic Study

A traffic study dated December 2015 is prepared for the project "using existing and background traffic volumes" along with trip generation for the proposed land uses. As a result of the finding within the study, the following recommendations were made:

- 1. The new project access on Thompson's Station Road West should be construction as an extension of the east-west portion of Thompson's Station Road West, immediately south of the exiting railroad tracks. With this new leg, the new T-intersection should be built as far south as possible to maximize the separation from the railroad tracks.
- 2. If a second project access is provided on School Street, this access should be constructed to include one entering land and one exiting lane. It is important to note that this access is not needed to provide adequate capacity but will enhance vehicle circulation related to the proposed project. Because this access is not needed to provide adequate capacity within the study area, it can open to residents during the later phases of construction. Specifically, it could be provided at 75% completion of the project without compromising the efficiency of turning movements within the study area. If this access is used as a construction access during the earlier phases of construction, adequate turning radii should be provided at the intersection of School Street and the project access to accommodate delivery vehicles and construction traffic. It is likely that fewer than 15 construction vehicles will enter and exit the project site each day, and so these vehicles will not likely have a significant impact on the peak hour turning movements.
- 3. If a second project access is provided, School Street, as a second access should be widened to include two 10-foot travel lanes from the project access south to the east-west portion of School Street and the southern alignment of Thompson's Station Road West. The improvement could be provided with the reconstruction of Thompson's Station Road West, as described above.

The project includes an access on School Street and therefore, the above mitigation should be incorporated into the Development Agreement that will be reviewed by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen.

Sewer

The Board of Mayor and Aldermen granted the applicant permission to move forward with the development of an SBR system to manage wastewater contingent on a Development Agreement (DA) being approved by the Board within six months. The DA is dependent on the review of the sewer treatment facility which is currently in process with Tennessee Department of Environment Conservation (TDEC). The wastewater treatment proposal in currently under review, but no approvals have been granted.

RECOMMENDATION

The project, as proposed, is consistent with the approvals granted for the concept plan for the Whistle Stop development; however, because sewer approval has not been granted from TDEC and the Board of Mayor and Aldermen, Staff recommends the Planning Commission defer the project to the May 24, 2016 meeting in order for the applicant to obtain the necessary feedback from TDEC on the wastewater proposal.

ATTACHMENTS

Preliminary Plat Traffic Study (via email)

F i s c h b a c h Transportation Group, LLC Traffic Engineering and Planning

Traffic Impact Study

Whistle Stop Thompson Station Road West Thompson's Station, TN

Prepared December 2015 For Jay Franks Properties, LLC

FTG, LLC P.O. Box 682736 Franklin, TN 37068 (615) 771-8022 phone Gillian@FTGtraffic.com **Traffic Impact Study**

Whistle Stop Thompson's Station Road West

Thompson's Station, Tennessee

Prepared December 2015

PREPARED FOR:

Jay Franks Properties, LLC 135 Southeast Parkway Court Franklin, TN 37064

PREPARED BY:

Ms. Gillian L. Fischbach, P.E., PTOE Fischbach Transportation Group (FTG, LLC) P.O. Box 682736 Franklin, TN 37068 Phone: (615) 771-8022 FTG Project Number: 10463



Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCT	TION	3
2.	PROJECT DE	ESCRIPTION	4
	FIGURE 1.	LOCATION OF THE PROJECT SITE	5
	FIGURE 2.	CURRENT PROJECT SITE PLAN	6
3.	EXISTING T	RAFFIC VOLUMES	7
	FIGURE 3.	EXISTING LANEAGE WITHIN THE STUDY AREA	8
	FIGURE 4.	EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES	9
	TABLE 1.	DESCRIPTIONS OF LOS FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS	10
	TABLE 2.	EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE	11
4.	PROJECTION	N OF BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES	12
	TABLE 3.	HISTORICAL TRAFFIC VOLUMES IN THE STUDY AREA	12
	FIGURE 5.	BACKGROUND PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES	13
	TABLE 4.	BACKGROUND PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE	14
5.	IMPACTS OF	F PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	15
5	.1 TRIP GE	ENERATION	15
	TABLE 5.	TRIP GENERATION	15
5	.2 TRIP DI	STRIBUTION AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT (ONE ACCESS)	16
	FIGURE 6.	DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF SITE TRAFFIC (ONE ACCESS)	17
	FIGURE 7.	ASSIGNMENT OF PROJECT TRAFFIC (ONE ACCESS)	18
5	.3 CAPACI	TY ANALYSES (ONE ACCESS)	19
	FIGURE 8.	TOTAL PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES (ONE ACCESS)	20
	TABLE 6.	TOTAL PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICE	21
5	.4 TRIP DI	STRIBUTION AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT (TWO ACCESSES)	22
	FIGURE 9.	DIRECTIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF SITE TRAFFIC (TWO ACCESSES	5)23
	FIGURE 10.	ASSIGNMENT OF PROJECT TRAFFIC (TWO ACCESSES)	24
5	.5 CAPACI	TY ANALYSES (TWO ACCESS)	25
	FIGURE 11.	TOTAL PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES (TWO ACCESSES)	26
	TABLE 7.	TOTAL PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICE	27
6.	CONCLUSIO	ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	28
	APPENDIX A	A	29
	APPENDIX E	3	56

1. INTRODUCTION

This traffic study has been prepared in order to identify the traffic impacts of a residential development that is proposed to be constructed west of School Street in Thompson's Station, Tennessee.

For the purposes of this study, existing and background traffic volumes were established, and capacity analyses were conducted for these conditions. Also, trip generation calculations were performed, and the trips which are expected to be generated by the proposed project were distributed to the roadway system and added to the background traffic volumes. The roadways and intersections which provide access to the site were then re-evaluated to determine the traffic impacts of the proposed project. Access needs for the project were evaluated, and the necessary roadway and/or traffic control improvements were identified. This report presents the results of these analyses and the subsequent recommendations.

2. **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The location of the proposed project is shown in Figure 1. As shown, the project site is located west of School Street in Thompson's Station, Tennessee.

The current project site plan is shown in Figure 2. Currently, the project site is undeveloped, and the developer of the proposed project plans to construct the following land uses:

- 1. 165 single-family homes,
- 2. 3,000 square feet of neighborhood retail.

Access to this development is proposed to be provided by extending the northern east-west segment of Thompson's Station Road West. This roadway will be extended west from the existing 90-degree curve in Thompson's Station Road West at the existing railroad crossing.

In large part, economic and market considerations will dictate the pace and timing with which the proposed project is actually completed. The analyses conducted within this study are based on the estimation that the entire project will be completed within three years. F i s c h b a c h Transportation Group, LLC Traffic Engineering and Planning





Figure 1. Location of the Project Site



3. EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES

In order to provide data for the traffic impact analysis, peak hour traffic volumes were counted at the following intersections:

- 1. Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (at the southern 90-degree curve)
- 2. Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (west of the northern 90-degree curve)

This data was collected from 7:00 - 9:00 AM and 4:00 - 6:00 PM on typical weekdays in November 2013 when schools were in session. The existing laneage at these intersections is shown in Figure 3, and the existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 4.

Using the existing peak hour traffic volumes shown in Figure 4, capacity analyses were conducted for the intersection studied. Specifically, in order to identify current peak hour levels of operation within the study area, the capacity calculations were performed according to the methods outlined in the <u>Highway Capacity Manual 2010</u> (HCM2010). These analyses result in the determination of a Level of Service (LOS), which is a measure of evaluation is used to describe how well an intersection or roadway operates. LOS A represents free flow traffic operations, and LOS F suggests that the traffic demand exceeds the available capacity. In an urbanized area, LOS D is typically considered to be the minimum acceptable LOS. Table 1 presents the descriptions of LOS for unsignalized intersections.

The results of the capacity analyses for the existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 2, and Appendix A includes the capacity analyses worksheets. These analyses indicate that all of the critical turning movements at the unsignalized intersections within the study area currently operate at LOS A during both peak hours. Specifically, these intersections accommodate relatively low peak hour traffic volumes. However, it is important to note that the laneage, geometry, and alignment at these intersections are substandard.





TABLE 1. DESCRIPTIONS OF LOS FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of Service	Description	Average Control Delay (sec/veh)
А	Minimal delay	<u>≤</u> 10
В	Brief delay	$> 10 \text{ and } \le 15$
C	Average delay	$>$ 15 and \leq 25
D	Significant delay	> 25 and ≤ 35
Е	Long delay	$>$ 35 and \leq 50
F	Extreme delay	> 50

Source: Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (HCM 2010)

		AM PEA	K HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR	
INTERSECTION	TURNING MOVEMENT	LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE	LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE
Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (south)	Eastbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
Thompson's Station	Westbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
Road West and School Street (north)	Northbound Left and Right Turns	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh

TABLE 2. EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE

4. **PROJECTION OF BACKGROUND TRAFFIC VOLUMES**

In order to account for the traffic growth which will occur within the study area because of typical growth, as well as other approved developments, background traffic volumes were established for the intersections within the study area. Specifically, in order to account for typical growth within the study area, consideration was given to the historical traffic volumes near the project site. The Tennessee Department of Transportation (TDOT) conducts an annual count program throughout the state. This count program includes the annual collection of average daily traffic (ADT) counts at numerous fixed locations. As shown in Table 3, the daily traffic volumes within the study area have grown modestly 2005. However, the intersections studied accommodate relatively low peak hour traffic volumes. Therefore, for the purposes of this study, the existing traffic volumes were increased by 100% to reflect background conditions in Year 2018, as shown in Figure 5.

Year	Station 68 Thompson's Sta Rd ADT	Annual Growth	
2005	2,513		
2006	2,858	13.73%	
2007	3,449	20.68%	
2008	3,483	0.99%	Overall Growth
2009	2,916	-16.28%	
2010	2,412	-17.28%	
2011	2,585	7.17%	
2012	2,720	5.22%	
2013	2,723	0.11%	
2014	2,952	8.41%	1.94%

TABLE 3.HISTORICAL TRAFFIC VOLUMES IN THE STUDY AREA

Using the background peak hour traffic volumes, capacity analyses were conducted for the intersections within the study area. For these analyses, it was assumed that all existing infrastructure will be maintained and no improvements will be made.

The results of the analyses are shown in Table 4, and Appendix A includes the capacity analyses worksheets. These analyses indicate that all of the critical turning movements at the unsignalized intersections within the study area will operate at LOS A during both peak hours. Specifically, these intersections will continue to accommodate relatively low peak hour traffic volumes.



		AM PEA	K HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR	
INTERSECTION	TURNING MOVEMENT	LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE	LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE
Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (south)	Eastbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (north)	Westbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
	Northbound Left and Right Turns	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh

TABLE 4. BACKGROUND PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE

5. IMPACTS OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

5.1 TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation calculations were conducted in order to identify how much traffic will be generated by the proposed project. Trip generation data for daily and peak hour trips were identified from <u>Trip Generation</u>, Ninth Edition, which was published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in 2012. In order to present a conservative analysis for the purposes of these analyses, it was assumed that the villa homes will generate trips as if they were detached single-family homes. Table 5 presents the daily and peak hour trip generations for proposed project, and these trip generation calculations are included in Appendix B.

			GENERATED TRAFFIC				
LAND USE	SIZE	DAILY TRAFFIC	AM PEAK HOUR		PM PEAK HOUR		
			ENTER	EXIT	ENTER	EXIT	
Single-Family (LUC 210)	165 homes	1,664	31	94	104	61	
Specialty Retail (LUC 826)	3,000 sq.ft.	166	10	11	13	16	
TOTAL		1,830	41	105	117	77	

TABLE 5.TRIP GENERATION

5.2 TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT (ONE ACCESS)

For the purposes of this study, it was estimated that the trips generated by the proposed development will access the project site according to the directional distribution shown in Figure 6. The development of this distribution was based on the following factors:

- existing land use characteristics,
- the directions of approach of the existing traffic,
- the access proposed for the project, and
- the locations of population centers in the area.

It is important to note that this directional distribution is based on the provision of just one project access.

The peak hour trip generations and directional distribution were used to add the site-generated trips to the roadway system. Figure 7 includes the peak hour traffic volumes that are expected to be generated by the proposed project.





5.3 CAPACITY ANALYSES (ONE ACCESS)

In order to identify the projected peak hour traffic volumes at the completion of the proposed project, based on the provision of just one project access, the trips generated by the proposed development were added to the background peak hour traffic volumes within the study area. The resulting peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 8.

Using the total projected peak hour traffic volumes, capacity analyses were conducted in order to determine the impact of the proposed project on the roadway system. Specifically, these capacity analyses were used to evaluate the need for roadway and traffic control improvements within the study area. For the purposes of these analyses, the following assumptions were made:

- 1. The existing laneage and traffic control will be maintained, and no improvements will be made.
- 2. The new project access will be constructed as a two-lane extension of Thompson's Station Road West from the existing 90-degree curve at the existing railroad crossing.

The results of the capacity analyses for the total projected peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 6, and Appendix A includes the capacity analyses worksheets. These analyses indicate that all of the critical turning movements at the unsignalized intersections within the study area will operate at LOS A during both peak hours. Specifically, these intersections will continue to accommodate relatively low peak hour traffic volumes.



TABLE 6.TOTAL PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICEWITH COMPLETION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

	TUDNING	AM PEA	K HOUR	PM PEAK HOUR	
INTERSECTION	TURNING MOVEMENT	LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE	LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE
Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (south)	Eastbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
Thompson's Station	Westbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
Road West and School Street (north)	Northbound Left and Right Turns	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
Thompson's Station	Westbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
Road West and Project Access	Northbound Left and Right Turns	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh

(WITH ONE PROJECT ACCESS)

5.4 TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT (TWO ACCESSES)

For the purposes of this study, it was estimated that the trips generated by the proposed development will access the project site according to the directional distribution shown in Figure 9. The development of this distribution was based on the following factors:

- existing land use characteristics,
- the directions of approach of the existing traffic,
- the access proposed for the project, and
- the locations of population centers in the area.

It is important to note that this directional distribution is based on the provision of a second project access on School Street.

The peak hour trip generations and directional distribution were used to add the site-generated trips to the roadway system. Figure 10 includes the peak hour traffic volumes that are expected to be generated by the proposed project.





5.5 CAPACITY ANALYSES (TWO ACCESS)

In order to identify the projected peak hour traffic volumes at the completion of the proposed project, based on the provision of two project accesses, the trips generated by the proposed development were added to the background peak hour traffic volumes within the study area. The resulting peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 11.

Using the total projected peak hour traffic volumes, capacity analyses were conducted in order to determine the impact of the proposed project on the roadway system. Specifically, these capacity analyses were used to evaluate the need for roadway and traffic control improvements within the study area. For the purposes of these analyses, the following assumptions were made:

- 1. The existing laneage and traffic control will be maintained, and no improvements will be made.
- 2. The northern project access will be constructed as a two-lane extension of Thompson's Station Road West from the existing 90-degree curve at the existing railroad crossing.
- 3. The project access on School Street will be constructed to include one entering lane and one exiting lane.

The results of the capacity analyses for the total projected peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Table 7, and Appendix A includes the capacity analyses worksheets. These analyses indicate that all of the critical turning movements at the unsignalized intersections within the study area will operate at LOS B or better during both peak hours. Specifically, these intersections will continue to accommodate relatively low peak hour traffic volumes.



TABLE 7.TOTAL PROJECTED LEVELS OF SERVICEWITH COMPLETION OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

INTERSECTION	TURNING MOVEMENT	AM PEAK HOUR		PM PEAK HOUR	
		LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE	LEVEL OF SERVICE	VEHICLE QUEUE
Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (south)	Eastbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS B	1 veh
Thompson's Station Road West and School Street (north)	Westbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
	Northbound Left and Right Turns	LOS A	1 veh	LOS B	1 veh
Thompson's Station Road West and Project Access	Westbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
	Northbound Left and Right Turns	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
School Street and Project Access	Northbound Left Turns / Thrus	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh
	Eastbound Left and Right Turns	LOS A	1 veh	LOS A	1 veh

(WITH TWO PROJECT ACCESSES)

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analyses presented in this study indicate that the following infrastructure improvements should be provided in conjunction with the proposed project:

- 1. The new project access on Thompson's Station Road West should be constructed as an extension of the east-west portion of Thompson's Station West, immediately south of the existing railroad tracks. With this new leg, the new T-intersection should be built as far south as possible to maximize the separation from the railroad tracks.
- 2. If a second project access is provided on School Street, this access should be constructed to include one entering lane and one exiting lane. It is important to note that this access is not needed to provide adequate capacity but will enhance vehicle circulation related to the proposed project. Because this access is not needed to provide adequate capacity within the study area, it can be open to residents during the later phases of construction. Specifically, it could be provided at 75% completion of the project without compromising the efficiency of turning movements within the study area. If this access is used as a construction access during the earlier phases of construction, adequate turning radii should be provided at the intersection of School Street and the project access to accommodate delivery vehicles and construction traffic. It is likely that fewer than 15 construction vehicles will enter and exit the project site each day, and so these vehicles will not likely have a significant impact on the peak hour turning movements.
- 3. If a second project access is provided, School Street should be widened to include two 10foot travel lanes from the project access south to the east-west portion of School Street and the southern alignment of Thompson's Station Road West. This improvement could be provided with the reconstruction of Thompson's Station Road West, as described above.

In conclusion, the implementation of the above recommendations should be provided in order to provide safe and efficient traffic operations on the roadways and intersections within the study area.
APPENDIX A CAPACITY ANALYSES

EXISTING CONDITIONS

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Sto	p Control Summary R	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Existing)		



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration														TR		
Volume (veh/h)		1 7 10 53										55	1			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No No										10				
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			9							70						
Capacity			988							1554						
v/c Ratio			0.01							0.05						
95% Queue Length			0.0							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			8.7							7.3						
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		8	.7							1	.2					
Approach LOS		A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Existing)		



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0									0	1	0			
Configuration														TR		
Volume (veh/h)		1 7 4 66										109	1			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No										lo				
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			9							77						
Capacity			916							1478						
v/c Ratio			0.01							0.05						
95% Queue Length			0.0							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			9.0							7.4						
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		9	.0							0	.4					
Approach LOS		A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Existing)		



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

					-											
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0									0	0	0			
Configuration		TR LT LR LR														
Volume (veh/h)		51 4 2 42 1							2							
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No									Ν	lo				
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)						49					3					
Capacity						1555					968					
v/c Ratio						0.03					0.00					
95% Queue Length						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.3					8.7					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					А					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0	.3			8	.7					
Approach LOS		A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Existing)		



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastk	bound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
Configuration		TR LT LR LR														
Volume (veh/h)		105 3 1 56 1 3														
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No										١	lo			
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	l Level	of Sei	rvice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)						63					4					
Capacity						1480					904					
v/c Ratio						0.04					0.00					
95% Queue Length						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.4					9.0					
						Α					Α					
Level of Service (LOS)																
Level of Service (LOS) Approach Delay (s/veh)						0	.1			9	.0				1	1

BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Background)		



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastbound Westbound Northbound											South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration		LR LT LT												TR		
Volume (veh/h)		1 14 20 106											110	1		
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0 0 0 0 0														
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No No										lo				
Median Type		Undivided														
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			17							140						
Capacity			917							1477						
v/c Ratio			0.02							0.09						
95% Queue Length			0.1							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			9.0							7.5						
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		9	.0							1	.3					
Approach LOS		A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way S	top Control Summary R	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Background)		



Major Street: North-South

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastbound Westbound Northbound Southbound											bound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		1		14						8	132				218	1
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0 0 0 0 0 0 0														
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No No										lo				
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			17							156						
Capacity			786							1335						
v/c Ratio			0.02							0.12						
95% Queue Length			0.1							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			9.7							7.7						
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		9	.7							0	.5					
Approach LOS		A A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	op Control Summary Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School							
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.							
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.							
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street							
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00							
Project Description	10463 (Background)									



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			Eastbound Westbound Northbound Southbo											
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	
Configuration				TR		LT					LR						
Volume (veh/h)			102	8		4	84			2		4					
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo			N	lo			N	lo			N	lo		
Median Type								Undi	vided				<u>.</u>				
Median Storage																	
Delay, Queue Length, an	d Level	of Sei	vice														
Flow Rate (veh/h)						97					6						
Capacity						1478					876						
v/c Ratio						0.07					0.01						
95% Queue Length						0.0					0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.4					9.1						
Level of Service (LOS)						А					А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		0.3 9.1															
(s) veri		A A															

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	top Control Summary Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School							
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.							
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.							
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00							
Project Description	10463 (Background)									



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Vennele Venanies and Alaja																
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			210	6		2	112			2		6				
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	lo			Ν	lo			N	0			Ν	10	
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Sei	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)						126					9					
Capacity						1339					763					
v/c Ratio						0.09					0.01					
95% Queue Length						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.7					9.8					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					А					
Approach Delay (s/veh)		0.1 9.8														
Approach LOS		A A A														

TOTAL PROJECTED CONDITIONS (WITH ONE PROJECT ACCESS)

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Total with one access)		



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

													-			
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		1		14						20	145				209	1
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo			١	lo	
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			17							183						
Capacity			792							1347						
v/c Ratio			0.02							0.14						
95% Queue Length			0.1							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			9.6							7.7						
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		9.6 1.1														
Approach LOS		1	٩							1	٩					

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Total with one access)	·	-



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	T	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		1		14						8	243				291	1
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			17							279						
Capacity			698							1247						
v/c Ratio			0.02							0.22						
95% Queue Length			0.1							0.0						
Control Delay (s/veh)			10.3							7.9						
Level of Service (LOS)			В							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		10.3 0.3														
Approach LOS		ł	3							,	4					

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	o Control Summary Report							
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School						
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.						
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.						
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street						
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00						
Project Description	10463 (Total with one access)								



Major Street: East-West

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	ound			North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	
Configuration				TR		LT					LR						
Volume (veh/h)			201	8		4	123			2		4					
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Right Turn Channelized		N	lo			Ν	0			N	0			Ν	lo		
Median Type								Undi	vided								
Median Storage																	
etorago																	
Delay, Queue Length, ar	nd Level	of Ser	vice														
	nd Level	of Ser	vice			141					6						
Delay, Queue Length, ar	nd Level	of Ser	vice			141 1348					6 744						
Delay, Queue Length, an Flow Rate (veh/h)	nd Level	of Ser	vice														
Delay, Queue Length, an Flow Rate (veh/h) Capacity	nd Level	of Ser	vice			1348					744						
Delay, Queue Length, an Flow Rate (veh/h) Capacity v/c Ratio	nd Level	of Ser				1348 0.10					744 0.01						
Delay, Queue Length, an Flow Rate (veh/h) Capacity v/c Ratio 95% Queue Length	nd Level	of Ser				1348 0.10 0.0					744 0.01 0.0						
Delay, Queue Length, an Flow Rate (veh/h) Capacity v/c Ratio 95% Queue Length Control Delay (s/veh)	nd Level	of Ser				1348 0.10 0.0 7.7	2			9	744 0.01 0.0 9.9 A						

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Report							
General Information		Site Information							
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School						
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.						
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.						
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street						
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90						
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00						
Project Description	10463 (Total with one access)								



Major Street: East-West

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastbound Westbound								North	bound		Southbound			
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			283	6		2	223			2		6				
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo			Ν	lo	
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Sei	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)						250					9					
Capacity						1250					655					
v/c Ratio						0.20					0.01					
95% Queue Length						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.9					10.6					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)		0.1 10.6														
Approach LOS						/	4			I	В					

	HCS 2010 Two-Way	Stop Control Summary I	Report
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta Rd and Project
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station, E.
Analysis Year	Total (with one access)	North/South Street	Project Access
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	10463	·	·



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			110	2		39	86			6		99				
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No										Ν	10			
Median Type								Undi	vided							
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)						139					117					
Capacity						1476					912					
v/c Ratio						0.09					0.13					
95% Queue Length						0.1					0.4					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.5					9.5					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					А					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						2	.5			9	.5					
Approach LOS		A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way	Stop Control Summary F	Report
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta Rd and Project
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station, E.
Analysis Year	Total (with one access)	North/South Street	Project Access
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25
Project Description	10463		



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			216	6		111	114			4		73				
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No No										lo				
Median Type		Undivided														
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)						250					85					
Capacity						1331					766					
v/c Ratio						0.19					0.11					
95% Queue Length						0.3					0.4					
Control Delay (s/veh)						8.0					10.3					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					В					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						4	.3			10).3					
Approach LOS		A B														

TOTAL PROJECTED CONDITIONS (WITH TWO PROJECT ACCESSES)

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)		



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		1		77						45	120				147	1
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No No											lo			
Median Type		Undivided														
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			87							183						
Capacity			881							1427						
v/c Ratio			0.10							0.13						
95% Queue Length			0.3							0.1						
Control Delay (s/veh)			9.5							7.6						
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		9	.5							2	.3					
Approach LOS		A A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta and School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Sta Rd, E / School
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)		



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Fastb	ound			Eastbound Westbound Northbound Southbound													
Movement	U	Lusto	T	R	U		Т	R	U		T	R	U		Т	R			
	U	L.			0	L				L			-	L					
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6			
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0			
Configuration			LR							LT						TR			
Volume (veh/h)		1		50						78	173				245	1			
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0		0						0									
Proportion Time Blocked																			
Right Turn Channelized		No No No No											10						
Median Type								Undi	vided										
Median Storage																			
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice																
Flow Rate (veh/h)			57							279									
Capacity			760							1302									
v/c Ratio			0.07							0.21									
95% Queue Length			0.2							0.2									
Control Delay (s/veh)			10.1							8.0									
Level of Service (LOS)			В							А									
Approach Delay (s/veh)		10).1							2	.9								
Approach LOS		B A																	

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Re	eport
General Information		Site Information	
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)	-	6



Major Street: East-West

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

					1				-							
Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound	
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
Configuration				TR		LT					LR					
Volume (veh/h)			139	8		4	98			2		4				
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0				
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No										Ν	lo			
Median Type		Undivided														
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)						113					6					
Capacity						1428					825					
v/c Ratio						0.08					0.01					
95% Queue Length						0.0					0.0					
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.5					9.4					
Level of Service (LOS)						А					А					
Approach Delay (s/veh)						0	.3			9	.4					
Approach LOS		A A														

	HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop C	Control Summary Report								
General Information		Site Information								
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta, E / School							
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station Road, E.							
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station Road, E.							
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street							
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90							
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	1.00							
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)									



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

	Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound	
U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0
			TR		LT					LR					
		237	6		2	153			2		6				
					0				0		0				
	No No No										No				
	Undivided														
Level	of Ser	vice													
					172					9					
					1305					722					
					0.13					0.01					
					0.0					0.0					
					7.8					10.0					
					А					В					
					0	.1			10).0					
	A B														
		U L 1U 1 0 0	IU I 2 0 0 1	U L T R 1U 1 2 3 0 0 1 0 1U 1 2 3 0 0 1 0 1U 1 2 3 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 TR 1 1 237 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 2 3 4U 0 0 1 0 0 1 7 7 7 7 1 237 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 237 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	U L T R U L 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 2 3 4U 4 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 2 3 4U 4 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 2 7 6 2 2 0 0 1 2 3 6 1 2 0 </td <td>U L T R U L T 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 37 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>U L T R U L T R 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 2 37 6 1 2 153 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<!--</td--><td>U L T R U L T R U L 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 10 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<</td><td>U L T R U L T R U L T 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 8 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0</td><td>U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1<!--</td--><td>U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 I 7 8 9 I 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 10 1 10 1</td><td>U L T R U I</td><td>ULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTI1011101100111011101010101010101110</td></td></td></t<></td>	U L T R U L T 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 37 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td>U L T R U L T R 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 2 37 6 1 2 153 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td>U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1<!--</td--><td>U L T R U L T R U L 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 10 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<</td><td>U L T R U L T R U L T 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 8 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0</td><td>U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1<!--</td--><td>U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 I 7 8 9 I 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 10 1 10 1</td><td>U L T R U I</td><td>ULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTI1011101100111011101010101010101110</td></td></td></t<>	U L T R U L T R 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 2 37 6 1 2 153 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </td <td>U L T R U L T R U L 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 10 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<</td> <td>U L T R U L T R U L T 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 8 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0</td> <td>U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1<!--</td--><td>U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 I 7 8 9 I 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 10 1 10 1</td><td>U L T R U I</td><td>ULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTI1011101100111011101010101010101110</td></td>	U L T R U L T R U L 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 10 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10<	U L T R U L T R U L T 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 66 7 8 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0	U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 237 6 1 2 153 1 </td <td>U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 I 7 8 9 I 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 10 1 10 1</td> <td>U L T R U I</td> <td>ULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTI1011101100111011101010101010101110</td>	U L T R U L T R U L T R U L T R U 1U 1 2 3 4U 4 5 6 I 7 8 9 I 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 10 1 10 1	U L T R U I	ULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTRULTI1011101100111011101010101010101110

HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop Control Summary Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	Thompson's Sta Rd and Project								
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN								
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station, E.								
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Project Access								
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)										



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach	Eastbound West					West	oound		Northbound					South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	
Configuration				TR		LT					LR						
Volume (veh/h)			110	2		14	86			5		37					
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Right Turn Channelized	No No No									0		No					
Median Type		Undivided															
Median Storage																	
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Sei	vice														
Flow Rate (veh/h)						112					47						
Capacity						1476					902						
v/c Ratio						0.08					0.05						
95% Queue Length						0.0					0.2						
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.5					9.2						
Level of Service (LOS)						А					А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)					1.1			9.2									
Approach LOS					A			A									

HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop Control Summary Report											
General Information		Site Information									
Analyst	FTG	FTG Intersection									
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN								
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Thompson's Station, E.								
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	Project Access								
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90								
Intersection Orientation	East-West	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25								
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)	-	6								



Major Street: East-West

Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	oound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6		7	8	9		10	11	12	
Number of Lanes	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	
Configuration				TR		LT					LR						
Volume (veh/h)			216	6		41	114			4		27					
Percent Heavy Vehicles						0				0		0					
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Right Turn Channelized		No No									No No						
Median Type		Undivided															
Median Storage																	
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice														
Flow Rate (veh/h)						173					34						
Capacity						1331					758						
v/c Ratio						0.13					0.04						
95% Queue Length						0.1					0.1						
Control Delay (s/veh)						7.8					10.0						
Level of Service (LOS)						А					А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)					2.3			10.0									
Approach LOS					A				1	4							

HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop Control Summary Report												
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	School St and Project Access									
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN									
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Project Access									
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street									
Time Analyzed	AM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90									
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)	·	-									



Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

Approach		Eastb	ound			West	bound			North	bound			South	bound		
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	1U	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6	
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
Configuration			LR							LT						TR	
Volume (veh/h)		1		63						25	20				14	1	
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0		0						0							
Proportion Time Blocked																	
Right Turn Channelized	No No									No No							
Median Type	Undivided																
Median Storage																	
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice														
Flow Rate (veh/h)			71							50							
Capacity			1066							1614							
v/c Ratio			0.07							0.03							
95% Queue Length			0.2							0.1							
Control Delay (s/veh)			8.6							7.3							
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А							
Approach Delay (s/veh)		8	.6						4.1								
Approach LOS	A							А									

HCS 2010 Two-Way Stop Control Summary Report												
General Information		Site Information										
Analyst	FTG	Intersection	School St and Project Access									
Agency/Co.	FTG	Jurisdiction	Thompson's Station, TN									
Date Performed	Dec 2015	East/West Street	Project Access									
Analysis Year	2015	North/South Street	School Street									
Time Analyzed	PM Peak Hour	Peak Hour Factor	0.90									
Intersection Orientation	North-South	Analysis Time Period (hrs)	0.25									
Project Description	10463 (Total with two accesses)											



Vehicle Volumes	and Adjustments
-----------------	-----------------

Approach		Eastbound Westbound							North	bound		Southbound				
Movement	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R	U	L	Т	R
Priority		10	11	12		7	8	9	10	1	2	3	4U	4	5	6
Number of Lanes		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Configuration			LR							LT						TR
Volume (veh/h)		1		46						70	8				4	1
Percent Heavy Vehicles		0		0						0						
Proportion Time Blocked																
Right Turn Channelized		No No No										No				
Median Type		Undivided														
Median Storage																
Delay, Queue Length, and	Level	of Ser	vice													
Flow Rate (veh/h)			52							87						
Capacity			1078							1630						
v/c Ratio			0.05							0.05						
95% Queue Length			0.2							0.2						
Control Delay (s/veh)			8.5							7.3						
Level of Service (LOS)			А							А						
Approach Delay (s/veh)		8	.5						6.6							
Approach LOS	A							А								

APPENDIX B TRIP GENERATION

TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS - Single-family Homes

The following calculations are based on the data compiled for ITE Land Use Code 210.

Average Daily Traffic

 $\label{eq:Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(X) + 2.72} \\ Ln(T) = 0.92 Ln(165) + 2.72 \\ T = 1,664 \ vehicles$

Enter = 0.50 (1,664) = 832 vehicles Exit = 0.50 (1,664) = 832 vehicles

AM traffic during peak hour of adjacent street

T = 0.70 (X) + 9.74T = 0.70 (165) + 9.74 T = 125 vehicles

Enter = 0.25 (125) = 31 vehicles Exit = 0.75 (125) = 94 vehicles

PM traffic during peak hour of adjacent street

 $\label{eq:Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(X) + 0.51} \\ Ln(T) = 0.90 Ln(165) + 0.51 \\ T = 165 \text{ vehicles}$

Enter = 0.63 (165) = 104 vehicles Exit = 0.37 (165) = 61 vehicles

TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS – Specialty Retail

The following calculations are based on the data compiled for ITE Land Use Code 826.

Average Daily Traffic

T = 42.78 (X) + 37.66T = 42.78 (**3.000**) + 37.66 T = 166 vehicle-trips

Enter = 0.50 (166) = 83 vehicles Exit = 0.50 (166) = 83 vehicles

A.M. traffic during peak hour of adjacent street

T = 6.84 (X) T = 6.84 (**3.000**) T = 21 vehicle-trips

Enter = 0.48 (21) = 10 vehicles Exit = 0.52 (21) = 11 vehicles

P.M. traffic during peak hour of adjacent street

T = 2.40 (X) + 21.48T = 2.40 (**3.000**) + 21.48 T = 29 vehicle-trips

Enter = 0.44(29) = 13 vehicles Exit = 0.56(29) = 16 vehicles

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 5 (Zone Amend 2016-003) April 26, 2016 Rezone for Pleasant Creek (Map 154 50.00) from D1 zoning to Transect Community (TC) zoning.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A request from Pleasant Creek, LLC to rezone 212.2 acres north of Thompson's Station Road East, along the west side of State Route 106/Highway 431 (Lewisburg Pike), east of Interstate 65 to Transect Community (TC) for the Pleasant Creek neighborhood.



BACKGROUND

The land is zoned D1 which is a low intensity residential district that permits the development of single family residential. The site is bounded by agricultural and residential to the north, residential and vacant land to the east, and residential and commercial (Riverbend Nurseries) to the south.

A transect plan was submitted with the project showing the conceptual development of two villages on the subject site. Development of villages requires wastewater service, transportation adjacency and community adjacency. The project site will provide wastewater service for the development upon approval by TDEC and the Board of Mayor and Aldermen and is within a ¹/₄ mile from an existing residential subdivision and commercial development. However, the project site is not located within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of junctions between principal arterials. Therefore, the transect community for the project will need to consist of hamlets which will contain a mix of residential types along with the required 60% open space.

PURPOSE OF A ZONING MAP AMENDMENT OR REZONING REQUEST

Amendments to the zoning ordinance or the zoning map are considered on a case by case basis upon request or petition to the Planning Commission. Zoning to the Transect Community (TC) district is not permitted by right. All proposed map amendments, including amendment to utilize the transect community zoning must be "predicated by a finding that the proposed amendment is consistent with the intent of the Town's General Plan and the proposed amendment will not have a deleterious effect on surrounding properties or the Town as a whole" (LDO 5.3.3).

Changing the zoning of a particular parcel will allow the owner of the parcel to develop or use their property based on the corresponding use table within the Land Development Ordinance (Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type). The Planning Commission is to evaluate the request based on the General Plan and make a formal recommendation to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen. The recommendation can be one of denial, approval, or approval with conditions.

THE REQUEST BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION

The subject site is located within the G1 – Controlled Growth sector of the General Plan and is zoned as D1– Low Intensity Residential. The applicant is requesting approval of a zone change to the transect zone for the development of two villages consisting of a variety of residential product.

STAFF FINDINGS

The subject property is located north of Thompson's Station Road East and east of Interstate 65. The subject property is located within the G1 – Controlled Growth Sector of the General Plan which permits the development of land as a Transect Community. The site has access to Lewisburg Pike and Thompson's Station Road and is in proximity to State Route 840 north along Lewisburg Pike. The project's characteristics will include the preservation of land, inclusion of civic spaces and development in conjunction with the development standards for each transect district, Staff is supportive of the rezone.

Therefore, Staff finds that the TC zoning for the property north of Thompson's Station Road East is consistent with the General Plan and will be developed in accordance with the Town's Land Development Ordinance so as to not have a negative effect on the surrounding properties. In addition, technical studies related to traffic, geotechnical, biology, archeology and other natural or cultural resources will be required to evaluate the proposal and be reviewed by the Town prior to any formal approvals.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings for General Plan consistency, Staff is supportive of a Planning Commission recommendation to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen to zone the land north of Thompson's Station Road East, east of Interstate 65, along the west side of Lewisburg Pike (State Route 106) (Map 154 50.00) for the Pleasant Creek neighborhood as Transect Community (TC).

ATTACHMENTS

Rezone Map Draft Transect Plan



SITE DATA:

OWNER: JOHN FRANKS

MAP 154, PARCEL 50

NO 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN ON PROPERTY. FEMA MAP#47187C0365F, 9/29/2006

Description of property. The property, identified as Map 154, Parcel 50, is located east of Interstate 65 and south of Lewisburg Pike. It is comprised of approximately 212 acres, and is a mix of open farm land and woodland. The property is consistent with the gentle rolling terrain of Middle Tennessee and forms a valley that flows into a Creek along Lewisburg Pike. The property is bordered to the west by Interstate 65, to the east by Lewisburg Pike, and to the south by Thompson's Station Road.

Existing Use of Land: Residential and Agricultural

Current Zoning Zoning District: DI Sector: GI (Controlled Growth Sector)

Proposed Community Unit Type(s): Accessory dwelling, duplex, multi-family dwelling, town house, senior housing, single family detached

Statement as to how the re-zoning request is consistent with the Thompson's Station General Plan. The request to re-zone the property at issue, so that it may be developed into a Transect Community Village, is completely consistent with the Thompson's Station General Plan and the Land Development Ordinance ("LDO").

As a preliminary matter, one of the stated goals of the Town's General Plan is the establishment of a Sector Plan and various Growth Sectors. See General Plan at pp. 6, 7 and 20. The Town, through its LDO, adopted the Sector Plan in support and in furtherance of the General Plan. See LDO, Section 2.1. That Sector Plan prescribes the various community types that are expressly permitted within each Growth Sector. The property at issue in this re-zoning request is located within the GI Controlled Growth Sector.

Thompson's Station has sought to balance its rural atmosphere with a desire for higher-density housing by identifying suitable locations for this type of housing to be in proximity to major thoroughfares. The Town's General Plan states:

General Plan at p. 4; see also General Plan at pp. 6, 8, 10 ("locating higher intensity uses near the major thoroughfares and freeways" and locating "higher intensity commercial land uses in proximity to State Route 840 and major arterials.")

As provided for in the Town's General Plan, the properties located near these major roadways are suitable for higher -density housing. The proposed Pleasant Creek development is located adjacent to these major thoroughfares - bordered by a freeway (Interstate 65) and one of two arterials in the Town (Lewisburg Pike). See General Plan at pp. 10-11. Further, the General Plan seeks to "[m]aintain the rural character of the Town while permitting hamlets and villages to development (sic) within the Controlled Growth Sector." General Plan at p. 7 (emphasis supplied). As noted, in furtherance of the General Plan, the Sector Plan permits hamlets and villages in the GI Controlled Growth Sector. See LDO, Section 2. The Pleasant Creek development, located in the GI Controlled Growth Sector, is consistent with the Town's General Plan to utilize these areas for a higher -density housing development in the form of a Transect Community Village. Additionally, in keeping with the community overview and Transect T3/T4 overviews in the General Provisions of the LDO, the Pleasant Creek development will incorporate a variety of housing types, with compact residential design, to allow for a range of open spaces to be distributed throughout the neighborhood.

The following goals further evidence how the proposed re-zoning is consistent with the General Plan:

sustainable manner.

Goal 2 - Achieve a balanced mix of uses within the Town. The Transect Community provides the opportunity for a mix of housing types and more recreational opportunities within the community. A clustered mix of housing types allows for a wide range of residential intensities and a range of economic options. Homes for this Transect Community include senior housing, "Big House" condominiums, town homes, and single family detached. Preserved open space areas and parks link the clustered neighborhood blocks through both sidewalks and walking trails within the neighborhood. This connectivity promotes recreation activities and socialization.

Goal 3 - Achieve a balanced mix of non-residential uses within the Town. There is limited commercial potential within the proposed neighborhood. However, residential use in this location will promote and potentially expand opportunities for commercial uses along Lewisburg near Interstate 65. The BP Market located at Lewisburg Pike and Harpeth Peytonsville Road, and Riverbend Nursery are examples of a local commercial services that are and have been successful in this corridor. Walkable pedestrian connections to Lewisburg Pike and clustered residential housing will promote the success of these neighborhood service retailers. Within the neighborhood, amenities such as a fitness club, residents' pool club, and outdoor gathering spaces will be within walkable distances from the neighborhood clusters, and will promote socialization and recreation among the residents. Senior housing is a proposed use for this neighborhood. This housing type will be supported by the internal amenities as well as benefit from the close proximity to local commercial activities and easy access to major thoroughfares and freeways to Franklin/Cool Springs.

Goal 4 - Encourage design flexibility for future developments, in consideration of site grading, increased impermeable surfaces The master-planned neighborhood approach allows for the clustering of homes in areas suitable for development where minimal grading and land disturbance would occur. Stormwater is considered holistically and is held in common to be maintained by a Home Owner's Association.

Goal 5 - Encourage cluster development for preservation of natural and cultural resources where feasible and consistent with surrounding land uses. The Transect Community provides the opportunity to cluster residential within areas suitable for development. Land with steep slopes, natural features, and wooded areas are set aside for preservation.

A parcel of land in the Eleventh Civil District of Williamson County, Tennessee, and a being a portion of the Lands owned by Darrell E. Reifschneider and being more particularly described as follows:

Point of Beginning is at a point in the easterly Right-of-Way of Interstate 65 (Right-of-Way Varies), also being the northwest corner of Property Map 155, Parcel 2.00 of record in Deed Book 3064, Page 922, R.O.W.C., TN, which is included in this description, and also being the southwest corner of Property Map 144, Parcel 32.00 of record in Deed Book 1662, Page 557, Register's Office for Williamson County, Tennessee (R.O.W.C.);

Thence, Leaving said I-65 right-of-way, with the southerly line of Parcel 32.00, generally along a fence, North 87°04'55" East, a distance of 618.23 feet to an iron rod (new) lying at the southwest corner of property conveyed to S.L. Parsley, Jr. as recorded in Deed Book 260, Page 286, R.O.W.C.;

Thence, with the southerly line of said Parsley property and the northerly line of the herein described tract for the next four (4) calls: North 87°29'33" East, a distance of 810.66 feet to an iron rod (new); thence,

North 87°39'00" East, a distance of 255.72 feet to an iron rod (new); thence, South 81°32'03" East, a distance of 248.98 feet to an iron rod (old); thence, South 80°19'44" East, a distance of 722.40 feet to an iron rod (new) lying at the common northerly corner of Lot 1 and Lot 2 of the final plat entitled, "Minor Subdivision Plat for Darrel E. Reifschneider" of record in Plat Book 31,

Thence, with the common lot line of said Lots 1 and 2 of Plat Book 31, Page 42,

- South 28°45'23" West, a distance of 145.85 feet to an iron rod (new); thence, South 33°02'10" East, a distance of 188.92 feet to an iron rod (new); thence, North 84°00'36" East, a distance of 143.46 feet to an iron rod (new); thence,
- South 82°30'12" East, 82.77 feet to an iron rod (new); South 43°25'29" East, a distance of 129.72 feet to an iron rod (new); thence South 16°52'46" East, a distance of 122.65 feet to an iron rod (new) lying in
- the northerly line of property conveyed to Rita A. Hudgens of record in Deed Book 876, Page 651, R.O.W.C.;

Thence, with Hudgens' northerly line, South 73°02'27" West, a distance of 196.46 feet to an iron rod (old) lying at the northwest corner of said Hudgens property and the northeast corner of Lot 2 of the final plat entitled, "Savannah Springs" of record in Plat Book 27, Page 40, R.O.W.C.;

Thence, with the northerly line of said Savannah Springs Subdivision, South70°51'45" West, a distance of 1066.58 feet to an iron rod (old) lying at the northwest corner of Lot 5 of said Savannah Springs Subdivision and being the southwest corner of Lot 2 of said Minor Subdivision Plat for Darrel E. Reifschneider;

Thence, with the westerly line of said Lot 5 Savannah Springs Subdivision, South 05°26'36" West, a distance of 636.48 feet to an iron rod (old) at the common lot corner of Lot 6 and Lot 5 of said Savannah Springs Subdivision;

Thence, with the common lot line of said Lots 5 and 6, South 81°37'22" East, a distance of 356.09 feet to an iron rod (old), said iron rod (old) being the northwest corner of property conveyed to Jacob F. and Amy b. Gordon of record in Deed Book 6177, Page

Thence, with the common line of said Lot 6 and said Gordon property, South 05°51'42" West, a distance of 623.77 feet to an iron rod (new) lying at the southwest corner of said Gordon property and the southeast corner of said Lot 6;

Thence, leaving Lot 6, with the southerly line of said Gordon property, South 81°33'40" East, a distance of 352.32 feet to an iron rod (old) lying at the southwest corner of property conveyed to Darrel E. Reifschneider of record in Deed Book 1795, Page 852, R.O.W.C. (Tax Maps refer to Deed Book 3064, Page 920 in error);

Thence, with the southerly line of said Reifschneider property, South 81°36'09" East, a distance of 826.24 feet to an iron rod (old) lying in the westerly right-of-way line of said

Thence, with the westerly right-of-way line of said Highway 431, South 05°44'46" West, a distance of 540.90 feet to an Iron rod (old) lying at the northeasterly corner of proper conveyed to Ozzad Property Management, LLC of record in Deed Book 2996, Page 473,

Thence, with the northerly line of said Ozzad property, North 81°52'28" West, a distance of 1148.60 feet to an iron rod (new) lying in the northerly line of property conveyed to Ozzad Property Management, LLC of record in Deed Book 1051, Page 242, R.O.W.C.;

Thence, continuing with said Ozzad property for the next three (3) calls: North 82°04'01" West, a distance of 596.53 feet to an iron rod (new); thence, North 81°57'56" West, a distance of 536.89 feet to an iron rod (old); thence, North 82°09'47" West, a distance of 788.28 feet to an iron rod (new) lying in the easterly line of property conveyed to Davis Barbara Wilhoite of record in Deed Book 62, Page 143, R.O.W.C.;

Thence, with the easterly line of said Wilhoite property, North 07°40'54" East, a distance of 572.26 feet to an iron rod (old) at the northwest corner of said Wilhoite property;

Thence, with Wilhoite's north line, North 82°31'23" West, a distance of 805.00 feet to an iron rod (old) at the northeast corner of property conveyed to Darrel E. Reifschneider of record in Deed Book 3064, Page 918, R.O.W.C. and being identified as Parcel 50.00 on Williamson County property map no. 154;

Thence, with the common line of said Wilhoite and Reifschneider, South 07°10'07" West, a distance of 1688.03 feet to an iron rod (new) lying in the northerly right-of-way of Thompson Station Road (right-of-way varies);

- Thence, with the northerly right-of-way of said Thompson Station Road for the next five
- North 82°11'15" West, a distance of 405.98 feet to an iron rod (old); thence, North 07°48'45" East, a distance of 35.00 feet to an iron rod (new); thence, North 82°11'15" West, a distance of 400.00 feet to an rod (old); thence,
- North 07°48'45" East, a distance of 40.00 feet to an iron rod (new); thence, North 82°11'15" West, a distance of 172.00 feet to a concrete monument (old) lying in the easterly right-of-way of Interstate 65 (right-of-way varies) and
- being the southwest corner of the herein described tract of land; Thence, with said easterly right-of-way of Interstate 65 for the next six (6) calls:

1) North 11°15'55" East, a distance of 45.75 feet to a concrete monument (old) at the beginning of a curve; thence, 2) Along said curve concave to the east having a radius of 5579.58 feet and a central angle of 22°40'00" and a distance of 2207.34 feet being subtended by

a chord which bears North 22°19'23" East 2192.97 feet to a concrete North 33°40'46" East, a distance of 142.41 feet to an iron rod (old); thence,

North 33°40'46" East, a distance of 1248.65 feet to a concrete monument South 56°19'14" East, a distance of 50.00 feet to an iron rod (old); thence,

North 33°40'46" East, a distance of 195.80 feet to the Point of Beginning, containing 9243387 square feet or 212.20 acres, more or less, as calculated by the above described courses and distances, according to an ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey prepared by Harrah & Associates, Roger Harrah, PLS

PLEASANT CREEK INVESTMENTS LLC 144 SOUTHEAST PARKWAY, SUITE 230 FRANKLIN, TN 37064

APPLICANT: GAMBLE DESIGN COLLABORATIVE 144 SOUTHEAST PARKWAY, SUITE 200 FRANKLIN, TN 37064 GREG GAMBLE

PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION:

Proposed Designation of Zoning District Transect Community (TC) - for purposes of a Transect Community Village.

[I]n recent years, higher density housing has started to occur in locations suitable to providing easy access to commercial activities. These developments, including Tollgate Village, Bridgemore Village and Fields of Canterbury offer a variety of housing in proximity to major thoroughfares. Interstate 65, State Route 840, Lewisburg Pike and Columbia Pike provide easy access north of Thompson's Station into the Franklin/Cool Springs area. These major roadways also provide valuable opportunities for locating commercial land uses that will have a positive economic impact while maintaining the integrity and rural atmosphere of the community as a whole.

Goal I - Preserve the rural characteristics of the community while accommodating for future growth in an orderly and

The proposed Transect Community will provide the opportunity for a unique, master -planned neighborhood within the Interstate 65 Corridor. Natural areas identified as environmental resources will be preserved and integrated into an open space network where recreation and preservation co-mingle. A diverse mix of residential housing will be provided with higher intensities closer to Interstate 65 and lower intensities closer to Lewisburg Pike --helping to transition into a more -rural atmosphere. Homes will be clustered adjacent to open space and civic areas will be designed to be focal points and gathering spaces within the neighborhood blocks. These civic spaces shall serve as common destinations for pedestrian sheds, the development of which is expressly encouraged under the LDO, in furtherance of the General Plan.

Goal 6 - Evaluate the jobs/housing balance and update plans as necessary to ensure that job opportunities are available

through the possible development of land as economically feasible. This property is located in proximity to the Cool Springs Corridor and is recognized as "a desirable place for families to reside who want a rural atmosphere while keeping in proximity to goods and services." Varying intensities of clustered housing are suitable in this location to provide easy access to commercial activities.

Goal 7 - Develop a predictable strategy for the location and intensity of future development.

The recognition of this property as a Transect Community affords the Town a new neighborhood with a diverse residential housing mix. The proposed community, Pleasant Creek, will have access to two main thoroughfares with access to the Interstate 65 corridor. The proposed subdivision will be buffered along Lewisburg Pike by existing large residential lots and preserved natural features. This transition area will maintain the rural character of the Town along Lewisburg Pike and complement future and existing localized neighborhood commercial.

0

S C S D Σ ax ∞ Д L ത 0 0 of S G



Φ

Δ

GAMBLE DESIGN COLLABORATIVE 144 SOUTHEAST PARKWAY SUITE 200 FRANKLIN, TENNESSEE 37064 GREG GAMBLE greggamble209@gmail.com

<u>rev. date</u> April 18, 2016 April 20, 2016

615.975.5765

Date: FEBRUARY 24, 2016

SHEET



* Proposed point of off-site thoroughfare connection

CONCEPTUAL DRAFT OF TRANSECT ZONES SUBJECT TO ANY FUTURE APPROVALS AS REQUIRED BY THE LAND DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE



Phone: (615) 794-4333 Fax: (615) 794-3313 www.thompsons-station.com



1550 Thompson's Station Road W. P.O. Box 100 Thompson's Station, TN 37179

DATE: April 26, 2016

TO: The Planning Commission

FROM: Wendy Deats, Town Planner

SUBJECT: Item 6 – Request to modify a contingency

On January 26, 2016, the Planning Commission approved a modification to the Bridgemore Village preliminary plat for phases 5 - 11; more specifically phase 7 in order to create 18 single-family lots. The approval included the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to the approval of construction plans, all applicable codes and regulations shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.
- 2. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a geotechnical report shall be submitted identifying the location of any sinkholes.
- 3. Prior to the submittal of the final plat, the applicant shall enter into a development agreement for the remaining phases.
- 4. Prior to the submittal of the final plat for phases 6 and 7, a site plan for the proposed amenities area shall be reviewed and approved.
- 5. Prior to the submittal of the final plat, all approvals necessary for additional sewer taps shall be obtained from the Board of Mayor and Aldermen.

The modification to the preliminary plat eliminated approximately 46 acres of the development which included the second amenity for the residents. The owner's representative, Henry and Wallace, agreed to relocate the amenity to phase 6 and therefore, a contingency to submit a site plan was incorporated into the project approval. However, phase 6 was purchased by Blueprint Properties, LLC who is requesting that the Planning Commission defer the timing associated with the contingency. Blueprint intends to develop phase 6 in three sections and would like the Planning Commission to permit sections 1 and 2 to move forward through the platting process without the site plan for the amenity area. The request is made in order to give time on the selection of a space for the amenity.

The Land Development Ordinance specifies the requirement for the amenity. Currently, one amenity is in place for phases 1, 2, 3 and 4 which are substantially built out and/or under construction. Phases 5 and 6 are in the beginning phases of construction and utility installation. Given the progress on site and the number of occupied dwellings, the development is approximately 50% complete at this time. In addition, the site selection for this amenity is also a concern to the applicant and another site is under consideration. Therefore, it is in the best interest of the community to maintain the contingency and direct the applicant to plan and submit a site plan for this required amenity as recommended and approved by the Planning Commission in January 2016.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission affirm the contingencies previously approved.

Attachments

Letter dated March 15, 2016 January 26, 2016 staff report

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 6 (PP 2015-008) January 26, 2016

Revision to Preliminary Plat for Phases 7 within Bridgemore Village to create 18 single-family lots.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A request to modify a portion of the preliminary plat approved for phases 5 - 11; specifically phase 7 within the Bridgemore Village community. The Bridgemore Village is a subdivision located along the south side of Critz Lane, east of Clayton Arnold, west of Pantall Road with access from Critz Lane and Clayton Arnold Road.

BACKGROUND

On May 28, 2013, a revision to increase the number of lots from 490 to 545 was reviewed; however, based on several concerns including the reduced lot sizes, narrow lot widths, no additional amenities for the increased density and no traffic calming for the access from Clayton Arnold the project was revised with increased lot sizes, open space in front of the alley loaded units, an amenities area and traffic calming along Robbins Nest Road.

On September 24, 2013, another revision modifying lot widths that included the elimination of the 60 foot lots and the 80 foot lots for the inclusion of 70 foot lots was approved by the Planning Commission.

On May 26, 2015, a preliminary plat for phases 5 -11 was approved by the Planning Commission with contingencies.

ANALYSIS

Preliminary Plat

The preliminary plat is required to "form the basis of the design process for greenway lands, house locations, street alignments and lot lines" (LDO 5.4.3a). The site is zoned D1 (Low Intensity Residential District) which is "intended for low density residential development" (LDO 1.2.7b). A previous plat was approved for phases 5 -11; however a revision to the plat is necessary due to the sale of 46 acres of land, which results in a substantial change to the overall layout of the site and a loss of amenties/open space within this phase. The overall project site, as revised, is 498 acres with 479 single-family lots. Currently, Bridgemore Village has 258 platted single-family lots within phases 1, 2, 3 and a portion of 4. The remaining portion of phase 4 along with phases 5, 6 and 8 are approved and consist of 203 lots. Phase 7 consists of the remaining 18 single-family lots.

Lot Standards

The single family lots are approximately .25 acre lots with 25 front yard setbacks, a five and 15 foot side yard setback (aggregate of 20 feet) and a 30 foot rear yard setback with lot widths of 85 feet. These setbacks and lot widths meet the minimum requirements for the D1 zone.

Open Space

No open space is proposed within this phase. The amenities area is relocated to phase 6. The development currently has approximately 80 acres of the open space recorded which is approximately 32% of the requirement. The remaining open space was identified on the preliminary plat, which will be recorded upon final plat approvals.

Geotechnical Information

The Subdivision Regulations state that "as a general policy, sinkholes shall be classified as land unsuitable for development and shall not be included in streets and lots." No geotechnical report is submitted at this time for this phase of the development. A geotechnical report should be completed identifying any issues that could impact the development of the site. As a result of the report, all sinkholes should be noted on the plan, placed in open space with appropriate buffers. Therefore, Staff recommends a geotechnical analysis be completed prior to the approval of construction drawings.

Construction Plans

Approval of the preliminary plat provides entitlement to develop the phase and construction plans will be submitted as the project moves forward. The construction documents provide all the necessary engineering for the development. Since the construction drawings have not been submitted at this time, engineering issues have not been identified or addressed, including but not limited to grading, drainage, utilities, etc. Therefore, should any issues arise during the construction plan review that requires changes to the preliminary plat, it shall be incumbent on the applicant to revise the preliminary plat accordingly to meet all zoning and engineering related standards.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the project's consistency with the Land Development Ordinance, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the preliminary plat with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to the submittal of the final plat, the applicant shall enter into a development agreement.
- 2. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a geotechnical report shall be submitted for review.
- 3. Prior to the approval of construction plans, all applicable codes and regulations shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.

ATTACHMENTS

Revised preliminary plat Phase 7

11-053/0274



March 15, 2016

VIA EMAIL & POSTAL MAIL: wdeats@thompsons-station.com

Ms. Wendy Deats Town Planner Town of Thompson's Station 1550 Thompson's Station Road W. Thompson's Station, TN 37179

RE: BRIDGEMORE PHASE 6 THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE

Dear Wendy:

We are asking on behalf of Caldwell Lucas, with Grove Park Construction, to formally request that the Condition of Approval, that being of a secondary amenity area in Section 6, be specifically "tied" to Phase 6C.

The client is indicating there will be three phases (6A, 6B, and 6C). 6A will be 11 lots, 6B will be 42, and 6C will be 45 lots. This would also give us time to coordinate with the Steering Committee of the HOA, to understand what the residents are wanting for their neighborhood.

Thank you for placing this on the March 29, 2016 agenda. Please call with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

RAGAN-SMITH ASSOCIATES, INC.

Brett Smith, RLA, AICP Vice President

BAS:cmm

c: Caldwell Lucas Brian Rowe Bob Nichols