Town of Thompson's Station Municipal Planning Commission Meeting Agenda February 23, 2016

Meeting Called To Order

Pledge Of Allegiance

Minutes-

Consideration Of The Minutes Of The January 27, 2016 Meeting

Documents: 01262016 PC MINUTES.PDF

Public Comments-

Reports-

Old Business:

1. Letter Of Credit Reduction For Fields Of Canterbury, Section 7B (1-D-14-003)

Documents: ITEM 1 FC SECTION 7B STAFF REPORT.PDF, ITEM 1 FC SECTION 7B ENGINEER MEMO.PDF

2. Letter Of Credit Reduction For Fields Of Canterbury, Section 4C (1-D-14-002)

Documents: ITEM 2 FC SECTION 4C STAFF REPORT.PDF, ITEM 2 FC SECTION 4C ENGINEER MEMO.PDF

3. Revised Preliminary Plat For Tollgate Village, Phase 15 (PP 2015-009)

Documents: ITEM 3 MEMO FOR TV PHASE 15.PDF, ITEM 3 ATTACHMENT TO MEMO.PDF, ITEM 3 REVISED PRELIMINARY PLAT PHASE 15 TV.PDF

4. Tree Replacement Plan For Bridgemore Village, Phase 5 (PP 2015-004)

Documents: ITEM 4 BV PHASE 5 TREE PLAN.PDF, ITEM 4 STAFF REPORT TREE REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT BV.PDF

5. Land Development Ordinance Amendments

Documents: ITEM 5 LDO AMENDMENT STAFF REPORT.PDF, ITEM 5 ADDENDUM.PDF

New Business:

6. Zoning Amendment To Rezone 4658 Columbia Pike From Specific Plan To D3 (High Intensity Residential) (File: Amend 2015- 008)

Documents: ITEM 6 REZONE SUBMITTAL PACKAGE.PDF, ITEM 6 STAFF REPORT MAP AMENDMENT.PDF

7. (Withdrawn By Applicant) Letter Of Credit Reduction For Bridgemore Village, Section 2C (1-D-14-011)

Documents: ITEM 7 BV SECTION 2C STAFF REPORT.PDF, ITEM 7 BV SECTION 2C ENGINEER MEMO.PDF

8. (Withdrawn By Applicant) Request For Construction Access, Phase 5 Bridgemore Village (PP 2015-004)

Documents: ITEM 8 STAFF REPORT CONSTRUCTION ROUTE BV.PDF, ITEM 8 BV CONSTRUCTION ACCESS.PDF

Adjourn

This meeting will be held at 7:00 p.m. at the Thompson's Station Community Center 1555 Thompson's Station Rd West

<u>Minutes of the Meeting</u> of the Municipal Planning Commission of the Town of Thompson 's Station, Tennessee January 26,2016

Call to Order:

The meeting of the Municipal Planning Commission of the Town of Thompson's Station was called to order at 7:00 p.m. on the 26th day of January, at the Thompson's Station Community Center with the required quorum. Members and staff in attendance were: Chairman Jack Elder; Secretary Don Blair; Vice-Chair Mike Roberts; Commissioner Ben Dilks; Commissioner Sarah Benson; Commissioner Debra Bender; Commissioner Darren Burress; Town Administrator Joe Cosentini; Town Planner Wendy Deats; Town Attorney Todd Moore and Town Clerk Jennifer Jones.

Pledge of Allegiance.

Minutes:

The minutes of the November 17, 2015 Meeting were previously submitted.

Commissioner Blair moved for approval of the November 17th, 2015 meeting minutes. The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

Public Comment:

None

Chairman Elder closed public comment.

Town Planner Report:

Staff reviewed the revised concept plan for Bridgemore Village. Staff also provided an update on the annexation and zoning of Two Farms at Thompson's Station. Staff also updated the Commission on Board approval of the PlaceMaker Contract.

Dan Ford with Beau Welling Design came forward to represent the applicant, Beacon Development with a brief presentation for Two Farms with regard to the site plan and the concept plan, outlining the different nodes within the development.

Commissioner Blair voiced apartment concerns, Commissioner Dilks voiced commercial concept concerns, and Commissioner Burress inquired about a traffic study of the Carter's Creek intersection. Commissioner Bender inquired about the percentage of single family homes, townhomes and mixed use concerns, and Commissioner Benson inquired about golf course availability. Mr. Ford answered all questions.

Unfinished Business:

1. Review of a modification to a concept plan within a planned zone – Whistle Stop (SDP 2015-005)

Mrs. Deats reviewed her staff report and recommended that the Planning Commission recommend to the Board of Mayor and Alderman for approval of the modified plan.

Greg Gamble with Gamble Design Collaborative came forward to speak on behalf of the applicant to answer questions.

After discussion, Chairman Bender made a motion that the Planning Commission recommend to the Board of Mayor and Alderman approval of the modified plan for Staff Item 1, SDP 2015-005.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

New Business:

2. Public Hearing – Update to the Town's General Plan to assign growth sectors to newly annexed land north of State Route 840, south of Coleman Rd.

Mrs. Deats reviewed her staff report and recommended that the Planning Commission adopt the updated growth sector map of the General Plan as proposed.

Chairman Elder opened public comment.

Commissioner Burress questioned T2 zoning and whether the Commission should rezone now.

After discussion, Commissioner Burress made a motion to update the Town's General Plan to assign growth sectors to newly annexed land north of State Route 840, south of Coleman Rd., as well as the land south of West Harpeth to be G1 instead of O2. (Parcel 11.03, Map 119, parcel 1).

The motion was seconded and carried by a 4 to 3 vote with Commissioners Bender, Dilks, and Roberts casting the opposing vote.

3. Letter of Credit request for reduction: Fields of Canterbury, Section 7B (File: 1-D-14-003) - \$188,000 for Roads, Drainage and Erosion Control and \$44,000 for Sewer

AND

4. Letter of Credit request for reduction: Fields of Canterbury, Section 4C (File 1-D-14-002) - \$170 for Roads, Drainage and Erosion Control and \$44,000 for Sewer

Mrs. Deats reviewed her Item 3 staff report and recommended that the Planning Commission reduce the Letter of credit from \$188,000 to \$54,000 for roads, drainage and erosion control and maintain the letter of credit in its current amount of \$44,000 for sewer for a year with the option for automatic renewal based on progress within Section 7B.

Mrs. Deats also reviewed Item 4 staff report and recommended that the Planning Commission reduce the letter of credit to \$58,000 for roads, drainage and erosion control and \$22,000 for sewer for a year with the option for automatic renewal.

Commissioner Dilks voiced concern over construction traffic and cannot support recommendation of reduction. Commissioner Burress wants Staff to consider the time the developer will be in the neighborhood.

After discussion, Commissioner Bender made a motion to accept Staff's recommendation for bond reduction for file 1-D-14-003 and 1-D-14-002.

The motion was seconded and failed.

Commissioner Dilks then made a motion to defer the Letter of Credit reduction for Section 7B (1-D-14-003) and Section 4C (1-D-14-002) Fields of Canterbury until the February meeting.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

5. Letter of Credit request for reduction for Allenwood, Off-site Improvements - \$32,000 <u>AND</u> Allenwood (File: FP2015-005) - \$170,000 for Roads, Drainage and Erosion Control and \$186,000 for Sewer.

Mrs. Deats reviewed her report and recommended that the Planning Commission reduce the off-site letter of credit from \$32,000 to \$12,000 for a year with the option for automatic renewal, and also reduce the letter of credit from \$170,000 to \$98,000 for roads, drainage, and erosion control and reduce the letter of credit from \$186,000 to \$140,000 for sewer for a year with the option for automatic renewal.

After discussion, Commissioner Burress recommended that the Planning Commission approve the Letter of Credit reduction for Allenwood, letter of credit from \$32,000 to \$12,000 for a year with the option for automatic renewal, and also reduce the letter of credit from \$170,000 to \$98,000 for roads, drainage, and erosion control and reduce the letter of credit from \$186,000 to \$140,000 for sewer for a year with the option for automatic renewal.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

6. Revision to Preliminary Plat for Phase 7 within Bridgemore Village to create 16 single-family lots (File: PP 2015-008)

Mrs. Deats reviewed her report and recommended that based on the project's consistency with the Land Development Ordinance, the Planning Commission approve the preliminary plat with the following contingencies:

1. Prior to the submittal of the final plat, the applicant shall enter into a development agreement for the remaining phases in Bridgemore Village.

2. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a geotechnical report shall be submitted for review.

3. Prior to the approval of construction plans, all applicable codes and regulation shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.

4. Prior to the submittal of the final plat for Phases 6 and 7, the amenities area site plan should be submitted and approved. The development of the amenities should be included in the development agreement for the remaining phases.

5. Board approval for all additional taps beyond allocation.

After discussion, Commissioner Bender made a motion to accept the Revision to Preliminary plat for Phase 7 within Bridgemore Village to create 16 single-family lots (File: PP 2015-008) Staff's recommended contingencies. Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting October 27, 2015 Page 4

7. Revision to a preliminary plat for Phase 15 within Tollgate Village.

Mrs. Deats reviewed her staff report and recommends approval with contingencies as follows:

- 1. Prior to the submittal of the final plat, the applicant shall enter into a development agreement for Tollgate Village Phase 15.
- 2. Prior to the approval of construction plans, all applicable codes and regulations shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.
- 3. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a drainage study shall be submitted to verify that drainage is managed adequately on site.
- 4. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a geotechnical report shall be submitted identifying the location of any sinkholes.
- 5. Prior to the submittal of the final plat for Phase 15, an updated traffic study (reviewed by the Town's Consulting Traffic Engineer) with a schedule of improvements for traffic mitigation including the secondary access and traffic signal shall be submitted for review and approval.
- 6. Prior to the submittal of a final plat for Phase 15, a detailed slope analysis shall be prepared showing slopes 15% 25% and slopes exceeding 25%. Any lots located within areas exceeding 25% slopes shall be located within an open space lot.
- 7. Prior to the approval of the construction plan, the applicant will be subject to the new LDO and make revisions to add pedestrian pads over 500 feet.

Brett Smith with Ragan Smith came forward to speak on behalf of the applicant regarding the setbacks and compatible architecture.

After discussion, Commissioner Dilks made a motion to defer pending litigation.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

8. Tree removal and removal and replacement plan for Phases 5 and 6 within Bridgemore Village (PP 2015-004).

Mrs. Deats reviewed her staff report and recommended approval based on the project's consistency with the Land Development Ordinance as submitted.

After brief discussion, Commissioner Dilks made a motion to defer pending litigation.

The motion was seconded.

Brett Smith came forward on behalf of the applicants requesting that Phases 5 and 6 be separated as they are different developers.

Commissioner Dilks withdrew his initial motion and made a motion to defer Bridgemore Village Phase 5 until February meeting pending litigation.

The motion was seconded and carried by a vote of 5 to 2 with Commissioners Bender and Burress casting the opposing votes.

Commissioner Blair proposed a motion to accept the tree replacement plan for Bridgemore Village Phase 6.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting October 27, 2015 Page 5

9. Land Development Ordinance Amendments (File: Zone Amend 2016-001)

Commissioner Blair made a motion to defer item to February meeting.

The motion was seconded and carried unanimously.

Alderman Shepard submitted a document requesting amendments to the Town's recently adopted Land Development Ordinance. Based on the goals and policies of the General Plan and the intent of the Land Development Ordinance, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

- 1. Does not recommend the exclusion of apartments within the T4 and T5 zones or the modification to the transect definitions.
- 2. Does not recommend the inclusion of apartments in the Industrial zone.
- 3. Recommends the inclusion of apartments in the Commercial zone.
- 4. Recommends the modification to the apartment definition.

Attest:

5. Recommends the inclusion of the multi family dwelling definition.

The items were deferred to February so the LDO could be explained in a separate work session on February 16th, 2016 at 6:00 pm.

There being no further business, Chairman Elder made a motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded and the meeting was adjourned at 9:43 p.m.

		Jack Elder, Chairman	
Don Blair, Secretar	ry		

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 1 (File: 1-D-14-003) February 23, 2016

LETTER OF CREDIT REQUEST FOR REDUCTION

Fields of Canterbury, Section 7B – \$188,000 for Roads, Drainage and Erosion Control and \$44,000 for Sewer.

A request for a bond reduction was submitted on December 3, 2015 for Section 7B within The Fields of Canterbury. Section 7B was renewed in February 2015 in the amount of \$188,000 for roads, drainage and erosion control and \$44,000 for sewer. "The surety instruments guaranteeing installation of improvements may be reduced upon completion of the base asphalt" (LDO Section 5.2.13) upon approval by the Planning Commission. However, bonds may not be reduced below 15% of the cost for said improvements.

The Town Engineer has completed a site visit and observed that the road is complete to binder course and has eight out of the 21 lots under construction. This section includes Tapestry Court and a portion of English Garden in the rear of the development. Therefore, pass through construction traffic is not anticipated, however construction traffic to build out the remaining lots is expected. Erosion control is in place and continual attention to dirt in the roadways and silt fences is necessary as the section continues to be built. Given the progress within the section, Staff recommends the roads, drainage and erosion control bond be reduced to \$54,000.

Sewer is installed and operational, however, given that damage may occur from construction traffic, service line hook ups, or pavement remediation, Staff recommends the sewer bond be maintained in its current amount of \$44,000.

Recommendation

Based on the progress within Section 7B, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission reduce the letter of credit from \$188,000 to \$54,000 for roads, drainage and erosion control and maintain the letter of credit in its current amount of \$44,000 for sewer for a year with the option for automatic renewal.

Attachments

FOC 7B Bond Review Memo

December 17, 2015

Fields of Canterbury 7B

RE: Bond

Roads, Grading, Drainage, & Erosion Control current bond amount: \$188,000

Road is complete to binder with 8 out of 21 houses built or under construction. This section is at the rear of the development and pass through construction traffic is not expected. Binder wear due to home construction traffic is expected. Some minor dirt on the roadway and erosion control at inlets should be addressed by the developer.

Reduce bond to \$54,000

Sanitary Sewer - bond \$44,000

Sewer is installed and has been operational for some time. Some minor damage may occur by construction traffic or pavement remediation (if necessary) and potentially by service connections to residences.

Maintain current bond amount.

Clifton & King, llc

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report - Item 2 (File: 1-D-14-002) February 23, 2016

LETTER OF CREDIT REQUEST FOR REDUCTION

Fields of Canterbury, Section 4C– \$170,000 for Roads, Drainage and Erosion Control and \$44,000 for Sewer.

A request for bond reduction was submitted on December 3, 2015 for Section 4C. The Fields of Canterbury Section 4C was renewed February 2015. "The surety instruments guaranteeing installation of improvements may be reduced upon completion of the base asphalt" (LDO Section 5.2.13) upon approval by the Planning Commission. However, bonds may not be reduced below 15% of the cost for said improvements.

The Town Engineer has completed a site visit and observed that this section is built out and the road is complete to binder course with no signs of damage. However, this section may be used for future construction traffic, therefore, Staff recommends the roads, drainage and erosion control bond be reduced to \$58,000 which will cover binder repair and 110% of the asphalt surface.

The section is built out and the sewer is installed and functioning for all 16 lots, therefore, Staff recommends the Sewer bond be reduced to \$22,000. Please note this bond cannot be further reduced below this amount for the performance/maintenance period.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission reduce the letter of credit to \$58,000 for roads, drainage and erosion control and \$22,000 for sewer for a year with the option for automatic renewal.

Attachments

FOC Bond Review Memo



PO Box 433 Thompson's Station, Tennessee 37179 615-591-9885 fax 591-9815

December 18, 2015

FOC 4C bond review

We observed the site today. This section is 100% built out (16 lots). The roadway is complete to binder course and does not show signs of damage, and is relatively free of dirt / debris. Lots are grass and impervious surface and origination of erosion is unlikely. The section could be a pass through for future construction and a bond is held on possible binder repair and 110% for future asphalt surface.

Bond amount \$58,000

Sanitary sewer is installed and function and serving the 16 residences. The minimum bond is held for the remainder of the performance/maintenance period.

Bond amount \$22,000

Steven M. Clifton, PE

Phone: (615) 794-4333 Fax: (615) 794-3313 www.thompsons-station.com



1550 Thompson's Station Road W. P.O. Box 100 Thompson's Station, TN 37179

DATE: February 23, 2016

TO: The Planning Commission

FROM: Wendy Deats, Town Planner

SUBJECT: Item 3 – Request to modify a portion of Phase 15 of Tollgate Village

On January 26, 2016, the Planning Commission deferred the request to modify a portion of Phase 15 within the Tollgate Village neighborhood in order to address outstanding issues related to the revision. In addition to the January 26, 2016 staff report, the following information is submitted for Planning Commission consideration.

Traffic Improvements

As noted in the attached staff report dated January 26, 2016, under the subsection of *Traffic study*, a traffic signal should be installed at the intersection of Highway 31 and the south project driveway at the completion of phase 1 prior to the onset of phase 2. Phase 1 consisted of 700 residential units and phase 2 consisted of the office and retail development. Based on the 650 approved or platted residential units, including the apartments, along with the development of the office building and the medical building, Staff considers phase 1 complete at this time. In addition, a recent update to a traffic study conducted by the Town's Traffic Engineer in August 2014 states that "in August 2014, the Tennessee Department of Transportation conducted a signal warrant analysis at the intersection. The traffic counts made on August 13, 2014 confirmed that traffic volumes at the intersection met MUTCD's Warrant 2 for Four Hour Vehicle Volumes. Since that time, it is presumed that traffic has remained steady or grown and therefore installing signalized traffic control at the intersection remains warranted." Therefore, Staff recommends that installation of a traffic signal prior to further plat approvals.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the revised portion of the plat subject to the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to the approval of construction plans, the applicant shall enter into a development agreement for Tollgate Village Phase 15.
- 2. Prior to the approval of construction plans, all applicable codes and regulations shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.
- 3. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a drainage study shall be submitted to verify that drainage is managed adequately on site.
- 4. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a geotechnical report shall be submitted identifying the location of any sinkholes.
- 5. Prior to the submittal of the final plat for Phase 15, an updated traffic study with a schedule of improvements for traffic mitigation including the secondary access shall be reviewed and approved and a traffic signal shall be installed at the intersection of Highway 31/Tollgate Boulevard.

Phone: (615) 794-4333 Fax: (615) 794-3313 www.thompsons-station.com



1550 Thompson's Station Road W. P.O. Box 100 Thompson's Station, TN 37179

6. Prior to the submittal of a final plat for Phase 15, a detailed slope analysis shall be prepared showing slopes 15% - 25% and slopes exceeding 25%. Any lots located within areas exceeding 25% slopes shall be located within an open space lot.

Attachments

January 26, 2016 staff report

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report –Item 7 (PP 2015-009) January 26, 2016

Revision to a preliminary plat for Phase 15 within Tollgate Village.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A request to approve a revision to a portion of the preliminary plat for Phase 15 of Tollgate Village to create 21 single family lots.

BACKGROUND

On July 28, 2015, a preliminary plat was approved by the Planning Commission for phase 15 within Tollgate Village. The phase created 83 single-family lots and one open space lot on 33.76 acres. This plat included an extension of Ashmore Drive and Del Mar Drive. This phase also requires the construction of new roads which include Vinemont Drive, Lewiston Street, Willmore Drive and Milford Drive. The developer has submitted a revision to modify a portion of the overall phase eliminating the alley between Milford and Vinemont, establishing a new road "D" and adding .88 acre for a total of 34.64 acres within the phase.

ANALYSIS

Preliminary Plat

The revision to the preliminary plat includes 21 single family residential lots, which eliminates the alley to create front loaded lots along Vinemont Drive and creates a new road to provide access to Willmore Drive. The revision also adds .88 acre along Vinemont Drive.

Roadways

No changes to the roadway sections are proposed with the modified plat.

Critical Lots

Lots 1543-1546, 1558-1560 are designated as critical lots on the plat. At this time, Staff does not have a detailed slope analysis for these lots to determine the actual slope; however, the applicant has stated that "no natural slope exceeds 25%." All critical lots will require engineered site plans to address all site specific issues. To confirm the slopes, Staff recommends that a detailed slope analysis for each lot be prepared to identify the slope and determine if it is natural or a result of man-made alterations. Any lot that exceeds 25% natural slope should be placed within the open space.

Lot Standards

The single family lots will vary in size from .19 acres to .28 acres with lot widths a minimum of 50 feet with proposed setbacks of 15 feet for the front yard setback, 7.5 feet for the side yard setbacks and 20 feet for the rear yard setback. Therefore, the preliminary plat conforms to required Land Development Ordinance.

Traffic Study

The traffic study prepared in 2003 evaluated the project based on two phases with an anticipated 10 year completion of the entire development. Phase I consisted of approximately 700 dwelling units with an estimated trip generation of 6,069 (daily traffic). To date, 650 lots are platted, and while other residential phases are proposed for the development, approval of phase 15 completes Phase I based on the number of dwelling units analyzed in the traffic study.

The 2003 traffic study recommended traffic mitigation for Phase I was:

1. "The eastbound approach of the south project driveway should be constructed to include separate lanes for left and right turning movements."

This improvement is complete. Tollgate Boulevard eastbound has a separate left and right turn lane onto Columbia Pike.

2. "As part of the State Route 840 construction project, TDOT plans to widen Highway 31 north of State Route 840 to a five lane cross section. The five lane section will extend for approximately 250 feet north of the high school access. Also, the planned widening will result in enough roadway width to provide a northbound left turn lane to serve the south project driveway to Tollgate Farms."

This improvement is complete. Columbia Pike has a five lane cross section from State Route 840 to Tollgate Boulevard along with a northbound left turn lane onto Tollgate Boulevard.

3. "The proposed site plan shows a driveway connection between Tollgate Farms and the high school. The connection will be beneficial since it will allow traffic to travel between the high school and the residential development without being required to travel on Highway 31."

This improvement is not complete. Declaration Way is not a public and no connection to Tollgate Village exists from this roadway.

Phase II consisted of the office and retail development with a trip generation of 14,832 (daily traffic). To date, approximately 30,000 square feet of general office and 46,800 square feet of medical office have been constructed. Phase II recommended traffic mitigation was:

- 1. "It is recommended that the five lane cross-section be extended north to a point approximately 200 feet north of the north project driveway."
- 2. "A traffic signal should be installed at the intersection of Highway 31 and the south project driveway. This signal should be installed at the onset of Phase II development."
- 3. "The eastbound approach of the intersection of Highway 31 and the south project driveway should be improved to provide a dual left turn lane for traffic exiting the project site."
- 4. "The eastbound approach of the intersection of Highway 31 and the north project driveway should be constructed to include a right turn lane and a left turn lane."
- 5. "A northbound left turn lane on Highway 31 should be provided at the intersection with the north project driveway. This left turn lane should include approximately 200 feet of storage."
- 6. "The intersection of Highway 31 and Goose Creek Bypass should be realigned to form a T intersection. It is also recommended that a traffic signal be installed at this intersection.

- 7. "A southbound left turn lane should be provided on Highway 31 at the realigned intersection with Goose Creek Bypass. This left turn lane should include approximately 150 feet of storage."
- 8. "A westbound right turn lane on Goose Creek Bypass should be provided at the intersection of Highway 31 and Goose Creek Bypass. This right turn lane should include approximately 150 feet of storage.

With the exception of a northbound turn lane at the proposed location of the secondary access, none of the other improvements related to Phase II have been completed, however Phase I is still underway and Phase II is predominantly undeveloped except with the Shelter Insurance office building and the Williamson Medical office building.

An updated traffic study was completed in February 2015 and submitted with a proposed revision to the site development plan. The traffic study was updated due to a proposed change to the overall development with an anticipated completion year of 2020. Based on the proposed land uses, the traffic generation is substantially lower than the original project. The traffic study identifies study references that TDOT has reviewed the project area and that based on "preliminary indications that a new traffic signal will be installed as part of TDOT improvements, the analysis of the intersection under traffic signal control indicates that the acceptable intersection operations can be provided" with the following mitigation measure:

1. "A southbound right turn lane should be constructed on Columbia Pike at Tollgate Boulevard. The storage length of this turn lane should be 250 feet with 100 feet of taper."

In addition, the updated traffic study addresses the secondary access to the north of Tollgate Boulevard. The original plans proposed a secondary access 640 feet north of Tollgate Boulevard, however, due to feasibility issues, the study suggests moving the access 240 feet to the south to avoid conflict with the bridge. Regardless of the placement of this access, the applicant will be responsible to obtain approval from TDOT prior to the construction of any roadway connection.

Construction Plans

The construction documents provide all the necessary engineering for the development. Modification to this section of the preliminary plat will require revision to the construction plans. All engineering issues will be identified and addressed, including but not limited to grading, drainage, etc. prior to the issuance of any grading permits. Therefore, should any issues arise during the construction plan review that requires changes to the preliminary plat; it shall be incumbent on the applicant to revise the preliminary plat accordingly to meet all engineering related standards.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the project's consistency with the Land Development Ordinance, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the revision to the phase 15 plat contingent on the following:

- 1. Prior to the submittal of the final plat, the applicant shall enter into a development agreement for Tollgate Village Phase 15.
- 2. Prior to the approval of construction plans, all applicable codes and regulations shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.

- 3. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a drainage study shall be submitted to verify that drainage is managed adequately on site.
- 4. Prior to the approval of construction plans, a geotechnical report shall be submitted identifying the location of any sinkholes.
- 5. Prior to the submittal of the final plat for Phase 15, an updated traffic study (reviewed by the Town's Consulting Traffic Engineer) with a schedule of improvements for traffic mitigation including the secondary access and traffic signal shall be submitted for review and approval.
- 6. Prior to the submittal of a final plat for Phase 15, a detailed slope analysis shall be prepared showing slopes 15% 25% and slopes exceeding 25%. Any lots located within areas exceeding 25% slopes shall be located within an open space lot.

ATTACHMENT

Revised Preliminary Plat Tollage Village Development Plan

GENERAL NOTES:

- THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAT IS TO CREATE 24 RESIDENTIAL SINGLE-FAMILY LOTS AND TWO OPEN SPACE TRACTS.
- BEARINGS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON TENNESSEE COORDINATE SYSTEM OF 1983.
- BY SCALED MAP LOCATION AND GRAPHIC PLOTTING ONLY, THIS PROPERTY LIES WITHIN FLOOD ZONE "X" (OTHER AREAS), AS DESIGNATED ON CURRENT FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MAP NO. 47187C0335F, WITH AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 29, 2006, WHICH MAKES UP A PART OF THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION REPORT; COMMUNITY NO. 470424, PANEL NO. 0335 SUFFIX F, WHICH IS THE CURRENT FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FOR THE COMMUNITY IN WHICH SAID PREMISES IS SITUATED. SAID MAP DEFINES ZONE "X" (OTHER AREAS) UNDER "OTHER AREAS" AS AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE FLOODPLAIN.
- THIS SURVEYOR HAS NOT PHYSICALLY LOCATED THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. ABOVE GRADE AND UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN WERE TAKEN FROM VISIBLE APPURTENANCES, PUBLIC RECORDS, AND/OR MAPS PREPARED BY OTHERS. THE SURVEYOR MAKES NO GUARANTEE THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN COMPRISE ALL SUCH UTILITIES IN THE AREA, EITHER IN SERVICE OR ABANDONED. THE SURVEYOR FURTHER DOES NOT WARRANT THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN ARE IN THE EXACT LOCATION INDICATED THEREFORE, RELIANCE UPON THE TYPE, SIZE AND LOCATION OF UTILITIES SHOWN SHOULD BE DONE SO WITH THIS CIRCUMSTANCE CONSIDERED. DETAILED VERIFICATION OF EXISTENCE, LOCATION AND DEPTH SHOULD ALSO BE MADE PRIOR TO ANY DECISION RELATIVE THERETO IS MADE. AVAILABILITY AND COST OF SERVICE SHOULD BE CONFIRMED WITH THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY. IN TENNESSEE, IT IS A REQUIREMENT, PER "THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT", THAT ANYONE WHO ENGAGES IN EXCAVATION MUST NOTIFY ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITY OWNERS NO LESS THAN THREE (3) NOR MORE THAN TEN (10) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE DATE OF THEIR INTENT TO EXCAVATE AND ALSO TO AVOID ANY POSSIBLE HAZARD OR CONFLICT. TENNESSEE ONE CALL, DIAL 811.
- THIS PROPERTY IS CURRENTLY ZONED D3 (HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL). MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE - 55%.
- MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS PER TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION LAND DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE DATED SEPTEMBER 29, 2015: FRONT: 10 **REAR: 20'**
 - SIDE: 7.5
- ALL STREETS AND ALLEYS ARE DESIGNATED PUBLIC AND AS SUCH ARE PUBLIC UTILITY, ACCESS AND DRAINAGE EASEMENTS.
- ALL PUBLIC STREETS AND DRAINAGE STRUCTURES WITHIN THE RIGHTS-OF-WAY 8. WILL BE MAINTAINED BY THE TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION.
- OPEN SPACE AREAS, PUBLIC UTILITY AND DRAINAGE EASEMENTS (INCLUDING DRAINAGE 9. AND DETENTION STRUCTURES), ALLEYS AND ALL LANDSCAPING WITHIN ROADWAY MEDIANS WILL BE MAINTAINED BY THE HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION.
- ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE BASED ON NAVD 88. CONTOURS ARE 10. AT TWO FOOT INTERVALS AND ARE BASED ON A FIELD RUN SURVEY BY RAGAN-SMITH ASSOCIATES ON JANUARY 26, 2015 USING RANDOM SPOT ELEVATIONS. CONTOURS WERE DERIVED USING SURFACE MODELING TECHNIQUES.
- SANITARY SEWER LINES AND STORM LINES SHOWN HEREON WERE TAKEN 11. FROM A PRELIMINARY DESIGN FOR THIS SECTION. FINAL PLACEMENT OF UTILITIES WILL BE DEPICTED ON THE FINAL PLAT.
- 12. DOMESTIC WATER SUPPLY INFORMATION TO BE DESIGNED BY OTHERS AND INCLUDED ON THE FINAL PLAT. WATER TO BE PROVIDED BY H.B.&T.S.
- 13. LOTS SHOWN THUS (*) ARE DESIGNATED AS CRITICAL LOTS AND HAVE MANMADE SLOPES (LOTS 1561-1562) AND NATURAL SLOPES (LOTS 1543-1546) IN EXCESS OF 15%. PER SECTION 3-102.104 OF THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS, PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF A BUILDING PERMIT, A SITE GRADING PLAN FOR DEVELOPMENT OF THE LOT SHALL BE SUBMITTED ADDRESSING SITE SPECIFIC NATURAL RESOURCE ISSUES TO THE TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL. NO BUILDING PERMIT WILL BE ISSUED ON SAID LOTS UNTIL AND UNLESS THE TOWN ENGINEER HAS RECEIVED AND APPROVED THE SITE PLAN.



DEED REFERENCE:

BEING A PORTION OF THE SAME PROPERTY CONVEYED TO MBSC TN HOMEBUILDER, LLC, FROM TGF 2010, LLC OF RECORD IN BOOK 5264, PAGE 242, REGISTER'S OFFICE FOR WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.

PROPERTY MAP REFERENCE:

BEING A PORTION OF PARCEL 1 AS SHOWN ON WILLIAMSON COUNTY PROPERTY MAP 132.

SURVEYOR RAGAN-SMITH ASSOCIATES, INC. 315 WOODLAND STREET NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37206 (615) 244-8591

OWNER / DEVELOPER MBSC TN HOMEBUILDER, LLC C/O BRIAN ROWE 312 S. GAY STREET, SUITE 200 KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37902 (865) 408-8322

LEGEND

R.O.W.C.T. REGISTER'S OFFICE FOR WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE



CONTACTS

OWNER/DEVELOPER

SHAW ENTERPRISES, LLC RANDALL SHAW P.O. BOX 8081 COLUMBIA, TN 38402 (931)-381-3881 RRSHAW11@AOL.COM

CIVIL

JACOB F. VINCENT, P.E. 315 WOODLAND STREET NASHVILLE, TN 37206 (615) 244-8591 JVINCENT@RAGANSMITH.COM

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

BRETT SMITH, R.L.A. 315 WOODLAND STREET NASHVILLE, TN 37206 (615) 244-8591 BSMITH@RAGANSMITH.COM

CONSTRUCTION PLANS FOR BRIDGEMORE VILLAGE PHASE 5



TOWN OF THOMPSON STATION, WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE

She ET1 ET1

Sheet List Table

eet Number	Sheet Title
1.1	Tree Plan
1.2	Tree Plan









052-02741-CIVIL ENGINEERINGISECTION 5/PLAN SHEETSIEXISTING TREE PLAN.DWG TTED BY DENNIS SIEBERG ON: 2/15/2016 2:35 PM LAST UPDATED BY DRS ON: 12/15/2015 11:41 AM

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 4 (PP 2015 – 004) February 23, 2016 d Banlagement for Phages 5 within Bridgement Villag

Tree Removal and Replacement for Phases 5 within Bridgemore Village.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A request for tree removal for phase 5 within the Bridgemore Village.

BACKGROUND

On May 26, 2015, a preliminary plat was approved for Phases 5 - 11 of Bridgemore Village. The preliminary plat did not identify tree removals and therefore, a contingency was placed on the project requiring a tree plan be presented to the Planning Commission prior to the approval of construction plans.

On November 17, 2015, the Planning Commission modified the contingency which required the tree removal and replacement inventory be reviewed by the Planning Commission prior to the approval of construction plan as long as tree removal and replacement was presented at the January 2016 Planning Commission meeting. On January 26, 2016, the Planning Commission deferred the phase 5 plan to the February meeting.

ANALYSIS

Development of phase 5 requires the removal of 13 trees for a total of 385 inches. The Land Development Ordinance requires the replacement of tree exceeding 24 inches at a ratio of one and a half inches for every inch removed. Therefore, 577.5 inches of trees is required to be replaced on the site. The replacement plan includes one tree per lot and the planting of trees around the perimeter of the open space area for total of 579.5 inches.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the project's consistency with the Land Development Ordinance, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the removal and replacement plan with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to the recordation of any final plats within Phase 5, all trees located within common area shall be planted in accordance with the approved replacement plan.
- 2. Prior to certificate of occupancy, all lot trees shall be planted in accordance with the approved replacement plan.

ATTACHMENTS

Tree Removal Plan

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 5 (File: Zone Amend 2016-001) February 23, 2016 Land Development Ordinance Amendments

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

These are staff initiated amendments of the Land Development Ordinance.

PROPOSED REVISIONS

Section 1.2.7 Use Districts (page 03). The intent of section 1.2.7 is to provide a brief description of each use district. The density identified in the D2 Medium Intensity zoning description is incorrect. However, density is not listed in any of the other zoning descriptions and is listed in the corresponding zoning tables. Staff is recommending that the density reference be removed from the D2 Medium Intensity zoning description.

Section 1.3 Definitions (page 6). Modify the definition of apartment building to include "an apartment building is typically owned by a single owner, often a corporation, with the individual units leased to occupants."

Section 1.3 Definitions (page 7). Remove reclamation bond in the definition section. The LDO does not have a provision for reclamation bonds and the term does not appear anywhere else in the document.

Section 1.3 Definitions (page 15). Addition of a definition for microbrewery. A microbrewery is an establishment where beer and ale are brewed in small quantities, typically in conjunction with a restaurant, bar or tavern use.

Section 1.3 Definitions (page 15). Addition of a definition for a microdistillery. A microdistillery is an establishment where the production of grade spirit alcohol in small quantities, typically in conjunction with a restaurant, bar or tavern use.

Section 1.3 Definitions (page 15). Addition of a definition for multi-family dwelling. A multi-family dwelling is a dwelling or group of dwellings on one lot containing separate living units for three or more families including apartments or condominiums.

Table 2.1 Community Types Permitted in Sectors (page 23). In order to comply with state code requirements, the "key" on this table must be amended. It should read as follows "Key: 'P' is permitted by zoning." All current letters within the table should be changed to P. This change would require all potential changes to the zoning map to accommodate transect communities would have to go through the normal zoning process. Therefore, "P" will be permitted by zoning.

Section 3.3.14 Tree Protection (page 33). Current language within the tree protection section requires identification of trees 24 inches and greater; however tree replacement requirements are for "trees exceeding 24 inches." We recommend to modify the language for tree replacement requirements to read trees 24 inches or greater to correct the inconsistency by requiring the same size for identification and removal and replacement requirements.

Section 3.3.14 Tree Protection (page 33). Tree removal is currently regulated by the Planning Commission during concept plan review. Staff is recommending that this be moved to the preliminary plat phase since concept plans are not approved by the Planning Commission.

Table 3.4 Maximum Block Face Length (page 52). The block lengths for the transect districts were copied over to the use districts. However, the previous subdivision regulations had a range of block lengths from 800 to 1,200 feet. Therefore, Staff recommends correcting this table to consist of a block length of 1,200 feet for the D1 zone, 1,000 feet for the D2 zone, and 800 feet for the D3 zone.

Section 4.5 Lot Use Restrictions (page 73); Table 4.2 Building Intensity (page 75); and Section 4.10.4 (page 95). We have identified inconsistencies on accessory dwelling unit regulation. Section 4.5.2 permits accessory dwelling units within the T3 up to 900 square feet. However, the Building Intensity table permits accessory dwelling units within T3 permits habitable area up to 500 square feet. We are recommending modifying the square footage in the Building Intensity table to 900 square feet for consistency with the text with Section 4.5. These corrections would create consistency between the different code sections and permit a maximum of 900 square feet for an accessory dwelling unit.

 Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 73).
 Remove apartments from the D3 zoning district and add apartments to the Commercial district.

Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 74). Include microbrewery and microdistillery as permitted uses within the T4O and T5 zoning districts.

Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 74). Include office building as a permitted land use within Industrial Light (IL) and Industrial Medium (IM) zones. Include microbrewery and distillery as permitted land uses within the T4O and T5 zone.

Table 4.3 T2 Lot Standards (page 78). Side and rear lot line building setbacks for the main building and accessory building are reversed in the T2 table. We would recommend changing the main building setbacks for side lot line to 20 feet and rear lot line to 50 feet and change accessory building side lot line to 10 feet and rear lot line to 12 feet. In addition, the primary frontage parking setback of 100 feet appears to be inappropriate for the required building setbacks. Staff recommends a change to require a 50 parking setback in accordance with the primary building setback.

Table 4.4 T3 Lot Standards (page 79). Side yard setbacks are an aggregate of 20 feet; however, the code didn't identify a minimum set setback. The minimum setback should be five feet.

Section 4.12.4 Maximum Provided Automobile Parking (page 104). This section has two inconsistent requirements. Developers are allowed to supply parking in excess of standard parking requirements in exchange for using low impact design standards. Staff is recommending that the first sentence be deleted which states the parking "shall not be permitted to exceed the allowable parking spaces . . . in excess of 10%" to eliminate the conflicting language within this code section. The requirement for any increases over 10% is 50% of the park area as low impact design (LID).

Strike second sentence regarding parking area exceeding allowances subject to review and approval by the Planning Commission. Parking lot layouts are prepared as part of site plans which are already reviewed by the Planning Commission. Any permanent parking that exceeds code requirements are subject to LID standards and are reviewed as part of the site plan packet.

Table 4.16 Use District Parking Requirements (page 106). Correct parking requirement for auto mechanical repair to reflect waiting areas instead of "seating for restaurants."

Section 4.17.6 Future Development Signs (page 122). The code states that "signs may not be installed until an approved concept plan is recorded." However, concept plans are not approved or recorded and therefore, the requirement should reflect final plat approval.

Section 5.2.6 Construction Plans (page 129). The code states that "multi-phase developments shall be required to submit phasing and construction traffic plan for the entire development before any final plats are approved." Staff recommends removing "multi-phase" and modifying the timing to prior to the approval of preliminary plat by the Planning Commission.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff is requesting the Planning Commission recommend to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen these Staff initiated amendments to the Land Development Ordinance.

ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS

Staff will continue to research the following amendments and will present additional information to the Planning Commission.

Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 73). Single family residential should be an allowable use in the T2 district.

 Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 73).
 Evaluate appropriate locations for the inclusion or elimination of apartments.

Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type (page 73). Include accessory dwelling unit within the T2 zoning district. This accessory dwelling unit allowance will be subject to the requirements set forth within Table 4.2 Building Intensity which limits accessory dwellings in size, which would be 900 square feet.

Section 5.2.8 Development Agreement Required Prior to Construction (page 131). Modify the language to require the development agreement prior to preliminary plat. The section would read "Following the review of the concept plan, a Development Agreement shall be prepared prior to the approval of preliminary plats..." All remaining language will remain the same.

Phone: (615) 794-4333 Fax: (615) 794-3313 www.thompsons-station.com



1550 Thompson's Station Road W. P.O. Box 100 Thompson's Station, TN 37179

To:	Planning Commission
From:	Wendy Deats, AICP Town Planner
Date:	February 23, 2016
Re:	Addendum to Item 5 – Land Development Amendments

Additional Revision

Section 5.1.1 Penalties. Modify the text to read as follows:

It shall be unlawful to erect, construct, reconstruct, alter, maintain or use any building or structure, or to use any land in violation of any regulation in this ordinance. Any person violating any of the provisions of this zoning regulations article shall be guilty of a Class C misdemeanor, and conviction shall result in a monetary penalty not to exceed fifty dollars (\$50.00) and the repayment of administrative costs incident to the correction of the municipal violation in the amount of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) for each separate offense. Each day any violation of this ordinance shall constitute a separate offense.

Section 5.1.2 Remedies Delete the current section and replace with the following:

In addition to the penalties reference above, upon the recommendation of the Town Planner or Building Official, or upon the request of a property owner who would be specifically damaged by a violation of this ordinance, the Town Administrator may direct the Town Attorney to institute an injunction, mandamus, or other appropriate action or proceeding to prevent such unlawful erection, construction, reconstruction, alteration, repair, conversion, maintenance, or use; or to correct or abate such violation; or to prevent occupancy of such building, structure, or land. Where construction, excavation, demolition, grading or any other activity has begun on any building, dwelling, structure, sign or use in violation of this ordinance or any other Town ordinance, the Town Administrator may, in addition to taking other authorized enforcement action, issue a stop work order pending the responsible party or parties bringing such construction, use or other activity into compliance with the ordinances of the Town. The party or parties may appeal the issuance of a stop work order to the Board of Zoning Appeals and the BZA shall hold a hearing on the order in accordance with § 5.5.4 of this ordinance. The BZA hearing on an appeal of a stop work order shall be heard as soon as possible after publishing the required notice, but not soon than fifteen (15) days after the filing of such appeal with the Town Planner, and not greater than thirty (30) days from the filing of such appeal.

Recommendation

Staff recommends this modification be included into the amendments for the Land Development Ordinance.



February 4, 2016

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Wendy Deats Town Planner Town of Thompson's Station 1550 Thompson's Station Road W. Thompson's Station, TN 37179

RE: VILLAGE GREEN (HOLT PROPERTY) REZONE THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE

Dear Wendy:

In response to your review letter dated February 3, 2016 we offer the following twelve (12) copies of fieldrun surveys (per your conversation with Dennis). These two (2) surveys, with the depicted proposed access, should address Items 1 and 2. Item 3 is attached with a memo addressing the General Plan.

We understand this is to be on the February 23, 2016 Planning Commission agenda as a recommendation to BOMA (standard procedure for a rezone request).

If you need additional information, please don't hesitate to call us.

Sincerely,

RAGAN-SMITH ASSOCIATES, INC.

Brett Smith, RLA, AICP Vice President

BAS:cmm

Attachments

c: Brian Rowe George Dean

MEMORANDUM

RAGAN•SMITH

To: Ms. Wendy Deats

From:	Brett Smith, RLA, AICP
Date:	February 4, 2016
Project No:	13-049/9740
Reference:	Village Green (Holt Property) Rezone

c: Brian Rowe George Dean

The subject property, which is along Columbia Pike, has T4 to the south, D3 to the southeast, and D2 to the north and northeast (see attached exhibit). To continue this parcel as D3 would complete a "second tier", contiguous on the entire east side of Columbia Pike, around the Town Center transect zones of T5 and T4.

The rolling topography of this site lends itself to the D3 bulk standards, as the more urban T4 would be precluded with the grades of infrastructure associated with alleys.

With the recent concerns voiced in the public forums about the traffic on Columbia Pike, this proposed use will have about 1/3 of the daily trips (646 vs. 1,938), about half of the a.m. peak trips, and less than 1/3 of the p.m. peak trips from the currently approved SP. This represents a significant reduction in total daily trips. (It should also be noted that if townhomes are proposed, they will have even slightly less proposed trips per the ITE standards.)

This will be a "textbook" step-down use from the Town Center at Thompson's Station/Columbia Pike, transitioning north, away from the more dense Town Center, to the less developed D2. Proposed D3 (High Intensity Residential) is in keeping with the G1 (Controlled Growth) of the recently adopted Sector Plan (1.2.5.d. "Sectors" and 1.2.7.b.iii "Use Districts").

The permitted uses of D3 are in keeping with the following General Plan Sections:

"Land Use Element"	Goal 1 Goal 2 Goal 3 Goal 4 Goal 7	Policy 1.1 Policies 2.1, 2.2 Policies 3.1, 3.6 Policies 4.2, 4.3 Policy 7.1
"Housing Element"	Goal 1	Policy 1.1
"Open Space/Conservation Element"	Goal 1 Goal 4 Goal 6	Policies 1.1, 1.3 Policy 4.1 Policy 6.1

The proposed access and associated infrastructure are in keeping with the following:

"Transportation/Circulation Element"	Goal 1	Policies 1.1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.8, 1.10
--------------------------------------	--------	-----------------------------------

The proposed off-site sanitary is in keeping with the following:

Goal 1	Policy 1.1
Goal 4	Policy 2.1
Goal 5	Policy 1.3
Goal 6	Policies 1.2, 1.4
	Goal 4 Goal 5

315 WOODLAND STREET • NASHVILLE, TN 37206 • 615.244-8591 • FAX 615.244-6739 • WWW.RAGANSMITH.COM





TOTAL AREA = 870,946 SQUARE FEET OR 19.99 ACRES ±



Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 6 (Zone Amend 2015-008) February 23, 2016 Rezone - 19.9 acres located at 4658 Columbia Pike (Tax Map 146, Parcel 16.07)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A request to approve a rezone for a 19.9-acre site located at 4658 Columbia Pike from Specific Plan to D3 (High Intensity Residential). The site is located along the east side of Columbia Pike and is surrounded to the north by vacant land zoned D2, to the east by vacant land zoned D3 and to the south by residential land zoned T4.

PURPOSE OF A ZONING MAP AMENDMENT OR REZONING REQUEST

Amendments to the zoning ordinance or the zoning map are considered on a case by case basis upon request or petition to the Planning Commission. Proposed map amendments must be "predicated by a finding that the proposed amendment is consistent with the intent of the Town's General Plan and the proposed amendment will not have a deleterious effect on surrounding properties or the Town as a whole" (LDO 5.3.3).

Changing the zoning of a particular parcel will allow the owner of the parcel to develop or use their property based on the corresponding use table within the Land Development Ordinance (Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type). The Planning Commission is to evaluate the request based on the General Plan and make a formal recommendation to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen. The recommendation can be one of denial, approval, or approval with conditions.

THE REQUEST BEFORE THE PLANNING COMMISSION

The subject site was rezoned in April 2014 from High Intensity to Specific Plan which, according to the current rezoning application, proposed 24,000 square feet of retail, 32,000 square feet of office, and 125 bed/independent living/dementia facility. The applicant is requesting to revert back to the original zoning designation of High Intensity, which is currently identified as the D3 district in the Town's Land Development Ordinance, in order to develop the property with 59 residential units (townhomes).

STAFF FINDINGS

A rezone of this property will eliminate a Specific Plan zone for which the Town no longer permits as a means to development. Therefore, rezoning the property to D3 would place the property in a zoning district currently regulated by the Land Development Ordinance and all current code requirements would apply to any development proposal.

Furthermore, the property is adjacent to existing D3 zoning to the east and is located within the G1 – Controlled Growth Sector of the General Plan which permits development within the D3 zone.

Therefore, staff finds that the elimination of the Specific Plan zoning for the subject property is consistent with the General Plan and the rezone of the property to the D3 zoning district will not have a negative effect on the surrounding properties or the Town as a whole. Any proposed project on this site will still be required to follow the Town's approval process including traffic impact analysis.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings for General Plan consistency and the elimination of a Specific Plan zone, Staff is supportive of a Planning Commission recommendation to the Board of Mayor and Aldermen for the rezoning of the subject property from the Specific Plan zone to the D3 zone.

ATTACHMENTS

Map Submittal packet Applicant Letter Justification Statement

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 7 (File: 1-D-14-011) February 23, 2016

LETTER OF CREDIT REQUEST FOR REDUCTION

Bridgemore Village, Section 2C – \$224,000 for Roads, Drainage and Erosion Control and 40,000 for Sewer.

On August 26, 2014, Bridgemore Village Section 2C was approved by the Planning Commission with the following letters of credit: \$224,000 for roads, drainage and erosion control and \$40,000 for sewer.

A request to reduce the bonds was submitted by Shaw Enterprises for Section 2C within Bridgemore Village. "The surety instruments guaranteeing installation of improvements may be reduced upon completion of the base asphalt" (LDO Section 5.2.13) upon approval by the Planning Commission. However, bonds may not be reduced below 15% of the cost for said improvements.

The Town Engineer observed the site and found that this section is predominantly built out with some continued construction activities for the remaining lots. The roadway is completed to binder course and is in good condition with some recent repairs. Heavy construction traffic is not expected within this section however, a portion of the bond is in place for potential repairs to the binder course.

A detention pond is located within this section and the final outlet device is not installed. The secondary area is overgrown with trees, shrubs, brush and grass and shallow areas of standing water was observed and it is expected that additional grading for the detention pond is necessary to ensure adequate drainage. An as-built of the pond and engineering certification of construction and performance in accordance with the design is also required.

Staff recommends that the bond be reduced to \$120,000.

The sewer is in place and functioning and most of the connections are complete. Minor damage is possible during any binder course repair or surfacing.

Staff recommends a reduction in the bond to \$28,000.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission reduce the letter of credit from \$224,000 to \$120,000 for roads, drainage and erosion control and reduce the letter of credit from \$40,000 to \$28,000 for sewer for a year with the option for automatic renewal.

Attachments

BV 2C Bond Review Memo



February 8, 2016

Bridgemore Village Phase 2C - Bond Review

This bond was last reviewed on July 10, 2015 and was not reduced. We observed the site today. This section is mostly built out with some house construction ongoing. The roadway is complete to binder course and in good condition with recently repaired areas. Heavy construction traffic is not expected however a portion of the bond remains in place in the event of additional binder course repair. The surface course bond is set at 110%. Drainage systems are installed and operational. A major detention pond is within this section and the final outlet control device has not been installed. The secondary area is overgrown with trees, shrubs, brush, and grass. Shallow areas of standing water were observed and it is expected that some grading work will be necessary to ensure pond drainage. An as-built of the pond and engineering certification of construction and performance in accordance with the design will be necessary for release of bond.

Recommend reduce the bond to \$120,000

The sewer is in place and functioning. Most service connections are complete. Minor damage may occur to sewer system to manholes during the binder course repair and/or surfacing

Recommend reduce the bond to \$28,000

Steven M. Clifton, PE

Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 8 (PP 2015 – 004) February 23, 2016

Construction Route - Phase 5 Bridgemore Village.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A request for a construction route for phase 5 within the Bridgemore Village.

BACKGROUND

On May 26, 2015, a preliminary plat was approved for Phases 5 - 11 of Bridgemore Village, however, a construction route for phase 5 was not reviewed or approved.

ANALYSIS

Phase 5 is located to the south of Sporting Hill Bridge Road in the southern portion of the Bridgemore Village community. The construction plans are not approved at this time due to the issue related to the construction route for this phase. The construction plans identify construction traffic access across a proposed bridge which would require the use of Robbins Nest Road to Sporting Hill Bridge Road. This would result in construction traffic through an established, built-out section of Bridgemore Village. Construction traffic through this established area is not recommended given the potential for damage to occur to the roads in phase 1. In addition, this route will create conflict between residents and construction traffic that could be avoided with an alternative route.

Given the concerns related to this route, the applicant has requested construction access from Pantall Road. The Bridgemore Village development does not have access to Pantall Road. While access to Pantall Road for construction traffic would provide a direct route into this phase and eliminate the concerns related to Sporting Hill Bridge, the route would travel through recorded open space that was previously designated as potential drip fields for the Town. Therefore, Staff does not recommend the approval of this route for construction traffic.

Another option for a route through phase 6 which runs to the south of Robbins Nest behind the developed portion of the neighborhood is available. This route would provide access to the phase, eliminate the concerns related to Sporting Hill Bridge Road and protect the open space along Pantall Road. Therefore, Staff recommends that access be permitted from the existing construction entrance on Clayton Arnold to Robbins Nest Road, left onto Pleasantville Bridge Road through phase 6.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on considerations for public health, safety and welfare, Staff recommends denial of the request and approval of construction route for phase 5 from Clayton Arnold Road to Robbins Nest Road onto Pleasantville Bridge Road through phase 6 of Bridgemore Village.

ATTACHMENTS

Proposed Construction Access Exhibit



G:\11052-0274\1-Civil Engineering\Section 5\Exhibits\Construction Access Exhibit.dwg